

Argyll and Bute Council
Local Development Plan
Action Programme
April 2015



CONTENTS	Page 2
OVERVIEW	Pages 3 to 6
ACTIONS FOR POLICIES	Pages 7 to 10
ACTIONS FOR PROPOSALS	Pages 11 to 207
MONITORING, REVIEW AND LOOKING AHEAD	Page 208

1. OVERVIEW

- 1.1 Section 21 of the Planning etc. (Scotland) Act 2006 requires that all Development Plans should contain an Action Programme that should be updated every 2 years. This Draft Action Programme has been prepared to support delivery of the Argyll and Bute Local Plan (April 2013). The Action Programme helps drive forward the implementation of the settlement and spatial strategy of the plan. It is intended that this process will make delivery of the Local Development Plan more tangible and accountable.
- 1.2 Within this Action Programme, key actions are identified that will assist in delivering the overall strategy of the plan and individual site specific allocations and other proposals.
- 1.3 This Draft Action Programme has been prepared as a basis for consultation and input from other Argyll and Bute Council services, the development industry and the Key Agencies and the Key Agencies including: Scottish Water, Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA), Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH), NHS Highland, Scottish Enterprise, HIE, Transport Scotland and the Strathclyde Partnership for Transport (SPT) together with the Scottish Government Directorate of Built Environment.
- 1.4 The Action Programme identifies who and what is required to deliver the Local Development Plan's policies and proposals, and when. This document:
 - Identifies the lead council officer
 - sets out a list of actions required to deliver the policies or proposals;
 - identifies the lead agent(s) responsible for implementing these action(s);
 - sets out a timescale for implementation;
 - Identifies known issues or constraints that need to be taken into account

The Draft Action Programme should be read in conjunction with the Argyll and Bute Proposed Local Development Plan.

2. Implementation of the Draft Action programme

2.1 The Role of the Development Industry

- 2.2 The majority of the identified proposals in the Local Development Plan rely on a proactive development industry and vibrant economy to bring forward their implementation. In the current challenging economic conditions in which the economic drivers are diminished or absent, it is extremely important that Local Authorities are doing all they can in fulfilling their role as enablers of development and removing any impediments to both private sector and public sector development. Engagement with the development industry is therefore a key element of the action programming process and regular feedback is sought from agents and developers through regular meetings, customer forums and feedback forms. The Proposed LDP has also been written to complement the economic priorities as identified in the council's latest Single outcome Agreement and Economic Development Action Plan (EDAP) and work is on-going to develop area based economic responses in order to unlock our full economic potential at a local level.

2.3 Another key inhibitor of economic growth in Argyll and Bute is a lack of modern infrastructure such as roads, water and waste treatment facilities, broadband, mobile phone coverage and grid connectivity. The council will continue to make best use of the resources we have and focus growth on areas with potential for further infrastructure investment through investment programmes such as CHORD and TIF.

3. The Role of the Planning Authority

3.1 This Draft Action Programme focuses on providing a practical framework for the delivery of development within the constraints described. In reality however, Local Authorities with increasingly limited resources have only a limited role in delivering development. Local Authorities have a role as developers usually when delivering publicly funded projects such as council housing, schools, community facilities and public infrastructure such as improvements to roads. In many cases where the authority is seeking to achieve delivery of development, the Local Authority is not the land owner or the developer. In other cases, delivery of development relies on the willingness of private landowners, or developers, to commit considerable amounts of private capital and revenue funding to bring forward new development such as recent examples at Portavadie in Cowal or the Machrihanish Dunes Golf Course in Kintyre.

3.2 Consequently, the council's primary role in bringing forward new development is primarily restricted to enabling and facilitating sustainable forms of development by identifying appropriate sites in the LDP; implementing the policy content of the plan through the development management process and targeting available resources to development priorities including the improvement of infrastructure.

4. The Enabling and Facilitating Role

4.1 The Scottish Government considers that the role of the public sector is to provide the best possible framework for new development. Where proposals rely on the private sector to bring forward their implementation, the Council's role is mainly an enabling and facilitating one. Activities include the publication of the Proposed Local Development Plan and associated Supplementary Guidance, publication of this Draft Action Programme and Housing Audit; preparation of Development Briefs and or Masterplans for key development opportunities; capacity studies; preparation of design guidance; publication of the council's Economic Development Action Plan and Renewable Energy Action Plan, publication of the Strategic housing Investment Plan (SHIP), Local Housing Strategy and process agreements.

4.2 In a number of sites or buildings that are council owned or where area regeneration works are planned more direct action can be taken to bring forward new development. The council works closely with our community planning partners to help deliver new community facilities and affordable housing within our towns and rural areas utilising available funds wherever possible. The council also bids for external funding, such as ERDF, to undertake projects such as improvements to Campbeltown port facilities or the Coastal Community Fund that enabled the creation of the Argyll Paddle sports trail.

- 4.3 Where appropriate the council also applies planning obligations on developers to provide essential infrastructure associated with their development and also works with the growing number of Business Improvement Districts and Community Development Trusts to provide sustainable futures for local communities. A key project for Lorn will be the acceptance by the Scottish Government of the business case relating to the Tax Increment Financing (TIF) to enable the delivery of key infrastructure to support the growth of the local economy in relation to tourism and renewables.
- 4.4 Other key activities influencing the quality of the built and natural environment include publication of Conservation Area Appraisals and management plans; preparation of built heritage strategy for the whole of Argyll and Bute; preparation of the Argyll and Bute Coastal Development Strategy; identification of Green networks for our six Main Towns; the adoption and implementation of our Core Path Plan; the establishment of the Argyll and the Isles Coast and Countryside Trust, supporting the development of BIDs partnerships and community ownership together with the adoption of a wind energy capacity study for on-shore wind.

5. **The Local Development Plan Vision, Settlement and Spatial Strategy**

- 5.1 The vision of the Local Development Plan as contained on page 5 of the LDP's Written Statement strongly aligns with the main priorities of the council's Single Outcome Agreement (2013) and Corporate Plan (2013). The Key Objectives of the plan are:-
- A) To make Argyll and Bute's main Towns and Key Settlements increasingly attractive places where people want to live, work and invest;
 - B) To secure the economic and social regeneration of our smaller rural communities;
 - C) To work in partnership with local communities in a way that recognises their particular needs to deliver successful and sustainable local regeneration;
 - D) To support the continued diversification and sustainable growth of Argyll and Bute's economy with a particular focus on our sustainable assets in terms of renewables, tourism, forestry, food and drink, including agriculture, fishing, aquaculture and whisky production;
 - E) To ensure the outstanding quality of the natural, historic and cultural environment is protected, conserved and embraced;
 - F) To meet our future housing needs, including affordable, throughout Argyll and Bute;
 - G) To continue to improve Argyll and Bute's connectivity, transport infrastructure, integration between land use, transportation and associated networks;
 - H) To optimise the use of scarce resources, including our existing infrastructure, vacant and derelict land and reduce consumption;

- I) To address the impacts of climate change in everything we do and reduce our carbon footprint:

These key objectives are followed through in the 5 key themes of the plan and 11 core policies. In terms of the Draft Action Programme and the future delivery of new development Policy LDP 5 – Supporting the Sustainable Growth of our Economy, Policy LDP 8 – Supporting the strength of our Communities and Policy LDP 11 – Improving our Connectivity and Infrastructure have a particular relevance however, the other policies of the plan together with their associated supplementary guidance also need to be taken into account.

6. Actions required to deliver the Settlement and Spatial Strategies

6.1 Land Supply

- 6.2 Fundamental to the success of the LDP's settlement strategy is the allocation of a land supply to meet identified needs in terms of housing, business, community facilities, minerals and sustainable economic growth. The LDP provides a generous land supply to meet identified demands for all these uses building on the previous Local Plan that was adopted in August 2009. Land supply is the subject of continuous monitoring through regular statistical returns and published documents such as the Housing Land Audit and Planning Performance Framework.

6.3 Local Development Plan Proposals

- 6.4 The next element of delivering the settlement strategy of the Plan is the inclusion of a set of proposals, which are usually site specific, to target delivery towards those identified projects. These include major infrastructure projects and area regeneration initiatives (AFAs). The LDP also provides additional flexibility in its land supply through the identification of development management zones and Potential Development Areas (PDAs) that can form part of the effective supply for new housing when known constraints (identified in the Draft Action programme) are overcome.

- 6.5 The LDP also contains spatial strategies for each of the council's four administrative areas that aim to steer significant development to our existing settlements including a network of key rural settlements where up to medium scale developments are considered appropriate.

6.6 Policy Context

- 6.7 Finally, a related requirement in meeting the Proposed LDP's overall strategy is the provision of the policy context of the plan, used to assess development proposals, which aligns with the overall aims and objectives of the plan.

7. Actions for Policies

- 7.1 In determining responsibility for delivering a number of Local Development Plan policies Argyll and Bute Council is predominantly identified as the lead agent. This reflects the role of Argyll and Bute's Development and Infrastructure Services in implementing policies. Whilst the Argyll and Bute Council is often the lead agent it frequently takes forward proposals with a partnership approach.
- 7.2 Reference to the Argyll and Bute Council may be related to one lead service or team from within the local authority, or may include a combination of several different teams e.g. development management, housing, environmental health etc.
- 7.3 Where a single lead agent or group of partners can deliver policies through the same type of action, these have been grouped. The term developer(s) may refer to private companies, community organisations, other public bodies, or private individuals.
- 7.4 In setting out timescales for delivery of actions timelines of; on-going; short (1-5 years); medium (5-10 years); or long (+10years) term have been adopted. By their nature the actions to deliver some policies or proposals will be on-going, in that they apply continuously.

POLICY	ACTIONS REQUIRED	LEAD AGENT OR DEVELOPER	TIMESCALE
Policy LDP STRAT 1 – Sustainable Development	Adopt the following Supplementary Guidance:- Sustainable Checklist	Argyll and Bute Council	Prior to Adoption of LDP
	Apply these principles in assessing development proposals	Argyll and Bute Council	on-going
Policy LDP DM1 – Development within the Development Management Zones	Apply policies in planning for and assessing development proposals	Argyll and Bute Council	on-going
	Adopt the following Supplementary Guidance:- Area Capacity Evaluation	Argyll and Bute Council (LDP team)	Prior to Adoption of LDP
Policy LDP 3 – Supporting the Protection, Conservation and Enhancement of our Environment	Apply policies in planning for and assessing development proposals	Argyll and Bute Council	on-going
	Adopt the following Supplementary Guidance:- SG LDP ENV 1; SG LBAP; SG LDP ENV 2; SG LDP ENV 3; SG ENV 4; SG ENV 5; SG ENV 6; SG ENV 7; SG ENV 8; SG ENV 9; SG LDP 10; SG ENV 11; SG LDP ENV 12; SG LDP 13; SG LDP 14; SG LDP ACE 1;	Argyll and Bute Council Mathew Watkiss (LDP) (Green Networks); Marina Curran Coulthard (LBAP); Lynda Robertson (LDP- Built Heritage Strategy);	Prior to Adoption of LDP

POLICY	ACTIONS REQUIRED	LEAD AGENT OR DEVELOPER	TIMESCALE
	SG LDP ENV 15; SG LDP 16(a) AND (b); SG ENV 17; SG LDP 18; SG LDP ENV 19; SG LDP ENV 20; SG LDP ENV 21: Adopt and implement built heritage strategy; Implement LBAP; Implement Woodland and Forestry Strategy	Sybil Johnson (Woodland and Forestry Strategy) LBAP Marina Curran Coulthard	
Policy LDP 4 – Supporting the Sustainable Development of our Coastal Zone	Apply policies in planning for and assessing development proposals	Argyll and Bute Council	on-going
	Adopt the following Supplementary Guidance:- SG LDP CST 1; SG LDP CST 2; SG LDP CST 3; SG LDP CST 4; SG LDP AQUA 1; SG LDP TRAN 8: Adopt and implement Coastal Development Strategy	Argyll and Bute Council Mark Steward (LDP –marine planning and Coastal Development Strategy)	on-going
Policy LDP 5 – Supporting the Sustainable Growth of Our Economy	Apply policies in planning for and assessing development proposals	Argyll and Bute Council	on-going
	Adopt the following Supplementary Guidance:- SG LDP BUS1; SG LDP BUS 2; SG LDP BUS3; SG LDP BUS 4; SG LDP BUS 5; SG LDP TRAN 2; SG LDP AQUA 1; SG LDP CST 1; SG LDP TOUR 1; SG LDP TOUR 2; SG LDP TOUR 3; SG LDP REN1; SG LDP REN 2; SG LDP REN 3; SG LDP REN 4; SG LDP LORN ARC; SG LDP WFS 1: Implement Economic Development Action Plan (EDAP) and Renewable Energy Action Plan (REAP) Implement Forestry and Woodland Strategy.	Argyll and Bute Council Sybil Johnson (LDP)(Woodland and Forestry Strategy); Ishabel Bremner (EDAP); Audrey Martin (REAP)	on-going
Policy LDP 6 – Supporting the Sustainable Growth of Renewables	Apply policies in planning for and assessing development proposals	Argyll and Bute Council and Developers	on-going
	Adopt the following Supplementary Guidance:- SG LDP REN1; SG LDP REN 2; SG REN 3; SG LDP REN 2; SG LDP REN 3; SG LDP REN 4; SG LDP LORN ARC: Implement wind energy capacity study and cumulative impact study.	Argyll and Bute Council Mark Lodge (LDP) Audrey Martin (REAP)	on-going
Policy LDP 7 –	Apply policies in planning for and assessing development	Argyll and Bute Council and	on-going

POLICY	ACTIONS REQUIRED	LEAD AGENT OR DEVELOPER	TIMESCALE
Supporting our Town Centres and Retailing	proposals	Developers	
	Adopt the following Supplementary Guidance:- SG LDP RET 1; SG LDP RET 2; SG LDP RET 3; SG LDP RET 4; SG LDP RET 5:	Argyll and Bute Council Mark Lodge (LDP-retailing) Audrey Martin (CHORD)	on-going
Policy LDP 8 – Supporting the Strength of our Communities	Apply policies in planning for and assessing development proposals	Argyll and Bute Council and Developers	on-going
	Adopt the following Supplementary Guidance:- SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP HOU2; SG LDP HOU 3; SG LDP HOU 4; SG REC/COM 1; SG LDP COM 2; SG LDP PG 1; SG LDP ENF1; SG LDP DP1; G LDP BD1; SG LD BAD2: Implement Strategic Housing Investment Plan;	Argyll and Bute Council Mark Lodge (LDP-Housing) Alan Brandie (Housing Service)	on-going
Policy LDP 9 – Development Setting, Layout and Design	Apply policies in planning for and assessing development proposals	Argyll and Bute Council and Developers	on-going
	Adopt the following Supplementary Guidance:- SG LDP ARGYLL AND BUTE SUSTAINABLE DESIGN GUIDES; SG LDP; SHOPFRONT/ADVERTISING DESIGN PRINCIPLES; SG LDP SUSTAINABLE SITING AND DESIGN PRINCIPLES: Implement suite of design guides. Design for individual turbines:	Argyll and Bute Council Paul Convery (LDP – design); Implement Design award and design review panel; Exemplary design examples on web	on-going
Policy LDP 10 – Maximising our Resources and Reducing our Consumption	Apply policies in planning for and assessing development proposals	Argyll and Bute Council and Developers	on-going
	Adopt the following Supplementary Guidance:- SG LDP SERV 1; SG LDP SERV 2; SG LDP SERV 3; SG LDP SERV 4; SG LDP SERV 5; SG SERV 6; SG LDP SERV 7; SG LDP SERV 8; SG LDP SERV 9; SG LDP CLIMATE CHANGE; SG LDP SUSTAINABLE CHECKLIST; SG LDP MIN 1; SG LDP MIN 2; SH LDP REN 1; SG LDP	Argyll and Bute Council Paul Convery (LDP –resources and flooding) LDP team (LDP-sustainable development)	on-going

POLICY	ACTIONS REQUIRED	LEAD AGENT OR DEVELOPER	TIMESCALE
	REN 2; SG LDP REN 3; SG LDP SUSTAINABLE STIING AND DESIGN PRINCIPLES; SG LDP SHOPFRONT/ADVERTISING DESIGN PRINCIPLES; SG LDP SUITE OF DESIGN GUIDES:		
Policy LDP 11 – Improving our Connectivity and Infrastructure	Apply policies in planning for and assessing development proposals	Argyll and Bute Council and Developers	on-going
	Adopt the following Supplementary Guidance:- SG LDP TRAN 1; SG LDP TRAN 2; SG TRAN 3; SG TRAN 4; SG LDP TRAN5; SG LDP TRAN 6; SG LDP TRAN 7; SG LDP TRAN 8; SG TRAN 8; SG TRAN 9; SG LDP TEL 1; SG LDP SERV 1; SG LDP SERV 2; SG LDP SERV 4; SG LDP SERV 8; SG LDP CPP1	Argyll and Bute Council Sybil Johnson (LDP –transport) Jolyon Gritten (Core Path Plan) Implement Argyll and the isles Coast and Countryside Trust (Julie Young AICCT Development Officer:	on-going

8. Actions for Proposals

Bute and Cowal / lead Officer Paul Convery

Allocation Schedules

Business and Industry Allocations

Ref No.	Location	Use Classes	Site Size (Ha)
BI-AL 2/1	Sandbank – Upper	4, 5, 6	8.0
Action:	Sewer capacity needs to be confirmed with Scottish Water		
Additional Information:	Established business site requiring expansion		
Timescale:	Short to Medium		
Key Partners:	HIE		
Notes:	Established business site with suitable sites for expansion		
BI-AL 2/2	Sandbank – High Road	4, 5, 6	6.15
Action:	Masterplan required/Sewer capacity needs to be confirmed with Scottish Water		
Additional Information:	Established business site requiring expansion		
Timescale:	On-going		
Key Partners:	HIE		
Notes:	Established business site with suitable sites for expansion		

Housing Allocations

Ref No.	Location	Use	No of Units	Affordability Minimum %
H-AL 1/1	Rothesay – Barone Road	Housing	24	0
Action:				
Additional Information:				
Timescale:	Short to Medium			
Key Partners:	HIE/ landowner			
Notes:				
H-AL 1/3	Rothesay – Craigmore	Housing	28	0
Action:				
Additional Information:	03/00929/DET – 10 units 06/02346/DET – 40 units (reduced to 38) following application added 1 unit making 39 on site 08/01782/DET			
Timescale:	Short to Medium			
Key Partners:	landowner			
Notes:				
H-AL 1/5	Port Bannatyne – Kyles Hydro	Housing	17	0
Action:				
Additional Information:	11/00626/PP issued 14/03/2012 Extant permission only on part of site for 3 units			
Timescale:	Short to Medium			
Key Partners:				
Notes:				
H-AL 2/2	Dunoon – Pilot Street	Housing	17	25%*
Action:				
Additional Information:	Adjacent to Milton Burn – Potential Flood Alleviation requirement 06/01846/DET - decision issued 27/07/2007 17 units.			
Timescale:	Short to Medium			
Key Partners:				
Notes:				
H-AL 2/3	Dunoon – Gordon Street	Housing	100	25%*
Action:				
Additional Information:	Extant planning permission – 41 units (1 developed). Owner intends to submit further applications mid 2014; followed by further application (20).			
Timescale:	Short to Medium			
Key Partners:				
Notes:	Check for EPS.			

Housing Allocations continued

Ref No.	Location	Use	No of Units	Affordability Minimum %
H-AL 2/4	Dunoon – Kilbride Quarry North	Housing	44	25%*
Action:				
Additional Information:	05/01269/COU barn conversion issued 06/10/2005. Completed 15/10/2010			
Timescale:	Short to Medium			
Key Partners:				
Notes:	Check for EPS.			
H-AL 2/5	Dunoon – Kilbride Quarry South	Housing	32	25%*
Action:				
Additional Information:				
Timescale:	Short to Medium			
Key Partners:				
Notes:	Check for EPS.			
H-AL 2/6	Dunoon – Bullwood	Housing	10	25%*
Action:				
Additional Information:	07/01270/DET – 22 units issued 13/08/2007 10 built 2 additional in block of flats still to receive completion therefore PLDP shows as capacity 10			
Timescale:	Short to Medium			
Key Partners:				
Notes:	Check for EPS.			
H-AL 2/9	Tighnabruaich	Housing	34	25%*
Action:				
Additional Information:				
Timescale:	Short to Medium			
Key Partners:	landowner			
Notes:	Check for EPS.			
H-AL 2/10	Kames – Kames Farm	Housing	15	25%*
Action:				
Additional Information:	Chalet development on part of site Gross area 1.59ha			
Timescale:	Short to Medium			
Key Partners:	landowner			
Notes:	Check for EPS.			

Housing Allocations continued

Ref No.	Location	Use	No of Units	Affordability Minimum %
H-AL 2/11	Sandbank – Ardnadam Farm	Housing	30	25%*
Action:				
Additional Information:	11/01158/PPP issued 22/06/12 9 units			
Timescale:	Short to Medium			
Key Partners:	Landowner/developer			
Notes:				
H-AL 2/13	Sandbank – Broxwood	Housing	40	25%*
Action:				
Additional Information:	04/01929/DET – 17 units meaningful start although no units on site as yet from Nov 2005 06/01045/DET – amended 2 units of previous permission			
Timescale:	Short to Medium			
Key Partners:	Landowner/ developer			
Notes:	This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site.			
H-AL 2/14	Strachur – Creggans	Housing	44	25%*
Action:				
Additional Information:	Site area adjusted at PLDP to remove developed area (2 units completed 1 on former site, 07/02166/DET) and site factors. 10/01807/PP on former site area - started			
Timescale:	Short to Medium			
Key Partners:	Landowner/ developer			
Notes:	Check for EPS.			
H-AL 2/15	Strachur – Mid Letters	Housing	50	25%*
Action:				
Additional Information:	09/00603/OUT– 7 units – issued 05/10/2009 - lapsed 08/01508/DET – 7 units issued 15/04/2009 Multiple owner applications PLDP increase numbers to 50			
Timescale:	Short to Medium			
Key Partners:	Landowner/developer			
Notes:	Check for EPS.			
H-AL 2/19	Toward	Housing	25	25%*
Action:				
Additional Information:	Sewerage issue highlighted			
Timescale:	Short to Medium			

Key Partners:	Landowner
Notes:	Check for EPS.

Housing Allocations continued

Ref No.	Location	Use	No of Units	Affordability Minimum %
H-AL 2/20	Toward – March Cottage	Housing	22	25%*
Action:				
Additional Information:	Sewerage issue highlighted 06/00959/DET – 16 units in 3 groups First group of 5 started (Building warrant and access works) PLDP 22 units to allow increase in further phases if new application submitted			
Timescale:	Short to Medium			
Key Partners:	Landowner			
Notes:	Check for EPS.			
H1001	Sandbank	Housing	58	25%*
Action:				
Additional Information:	Buffer strip recommended (SEPA) Drainage Impact Assessment required as part of planning application. Density 29 units/ha			
Timescale:	Short to Medium			
Key Partners:	Landowner			
Action:				
Notes:	History of flooding identified on adjacent housing development (run off from fields) that needs to be taken into account by developer.			
TOTAL			590	

*NOTE: The requirement for 25% of each of these allocations to be affordable housing is suspended for two years from the date of adoption of the Local Development Plan

Mineral Allocations

Ref No.	Location	Use	Life Expectancy
MIN-AL 1/1	Kingarth Quarry	Sand and Gravel	5-10 years
Action:			
Additional Information:			
Timescale:	Short to Medium		
Key Partners:			
Notes:			
MIN-AL 1/2	Ambrose Quarry, Kingarth	Hard Rock	5-10 years
Action:			
Additional Information:			
Timescale:	Short to Medium		
Key Partners:			
Notes:			

Mineral Allocations continued

Ref No.	Location	Use	Life Expectancy
MIN-AL 2/2	Killellan	Sand and Gravel	5-10 years
Action:			
Additional Information:			
Timescale:	Short to Medium		
Key Partners:			
Notes:			
MIN-AL 9/2	Cairndow – Clachan Quarry	Sand, Gravel and Hard Rock	10-15 years
Action:			
Additional Information:			
Timescale:	Short to Medium		
Key Partners:			
Notes:	Any proposal for expansion should ensure no adverse effect on the integrity of Glen Etive and Glen Fyne SPA		

Potential Development Areas

Ref No.	Location	Use	Density	Affordability %
PDA 1/1	Rothesay – Westlands Road	Housing	Medium	0
PDA 1/4	Port Bannatyne – Gortans Road	Housing	Low	0
PDA1/6	Port Bannatyne – Ardbeg Farm 1	Housing	Medium	0
PDA 1/7	Port Bannatyne – Ardbeg Farm 2	Housing	Low	0
PDA 1/8	Port Bannatyne – Ardbeg Farm 3	Housing	Low	0
PDA 2/1	Dunoon – Ardfillayne	Housing	Medium/low	25%*
PDA 2/2	Dunoon – Glenmorag	Housing	Medium/low	25%*
PDA 2/3	Dunoon – Glenmorag	Housing	Medium/low	25%*
PDA 2/4	Dunoon – Glenmorag	Housing	Medium/low	25%*
PDA 2/5	Dunoon – Dunloskin	Housing/Retail	Medium	25%*
PDA 2/6	Dunoon – Dunloskin	Housing	Low	25%*
PDA 2/14	Tighnabruaich – Middle Innens	Housing/mixed use/leisure	Low	25%*
PDA 2/35	Portavadie – Pollphail	Mixed Use – tourism/leisure/housing/business	N/A	25%*
PDA 2/37	Portavadie	Mixed Use – tourism/housing/business	N/A	25%*
PDA 2/38	Portavadie	Mixed Use – tourism/housing/business	N/A	25%*
PDA 2/42	Toward – Castle Toward	Mixed Use – tourism/education/leisure/housing/business		25%*
PDA 2/43	Ardyne	Mixed use – tourism/housing/marine/aquaculture related	Low	25%*
PDA 2/44	Knockdow Estate	Mixed Use – leisure/golf course/housing	Low	25%*
PDA 2/46	Largiemore	Mixed – Holiday chalets/housing	Low	25%*
PDA 2/47	Sandbank – Orchard Farm	Mixed Use – tourism/marine	N/A	N/A
PDA 2/50	Sandbank – Hoopers Yard	Mixed Use – tourism/marine	N/A	N/A
PDA 2/51	Tighnabruaich – Boatyard	Mixed Use – tourism/marine	N/A	N/A

*NOTE: The requirement for 25% of each of these allocations to be affordable housing is suspended for two years from the date of adoption of the Local Development Plan

Potential Development Areas continued

Ref No.	Location	Use	Density	Affordability %
PDA 2/100	Toward	Housing	Low/medium	25%*
PDA 2/101	Tighnabruaich – Acharossan	Community forest croft project	N/A	25%*
PDA 2/102	Strachur	Housing	Medium/high	25%*
PDA 9/12	Cairndow – Oyster Bar	Mixed use – business/tourism	N/A	25%
PDA 9/13	Cairndow – Inverfyne	Mixed use – business/housing/ Recreation	N/A	25%*
PDA 9/16	Ardkinglas	Housing/new estate access	Low	25%*
PDA 1001	North Bute	Potential site for tourism/ recreation/public access/community use (Low impact development)	N/A	N/A
PDA 1003	Glendaruel	Potential site for forest crofts and or enterprise centre	N/A	N/A
PDA 1004	Strachur – Letters Way	Housing	Medium	25%*
PDA 1005	Strachur	Housing	Medium/high	25%*
PDA 1006	Strachur – South East of Manse Gardens	Housing	Medium/high	25%*

Areas for Action

Ref No.	Location	Nature of Action
AFA 1/1	Rothsay Town Centre/Waterfront	Strategic; town centre/harbour development and management
AFA 1/2	Port Bannatyne Waterfront	Local; waterfront development and environmental enhancement
AFA 1/4	Rothsay – Barone Road/High Street	Local; regeneration and environmental enhancement
AFA 2/1	Dunoon – Town Centre/Waterfront/West Bay	Strategic; regeneration and environmental enhancement
AFA 2/2	Dunoon – Argyll Street/Hamilton Street/ Victoria Road	Local; regeneration and environmental enhancement
AFA 2/3	Ardyne	Strategic; development and environmental enhancement

Strategic Masterplan Areas

Ref No.	Location	Use
MAST 1/1	Ardyne	Mixed use; Tourism/Business/Leisure /Housing/Aquaculture
Action:		
Additional Information:	Full planning consent granted; Paul Convery main planning contact:	
Timescale:	Short to medium term	
Key Partners:	Landowner	
Notes:	This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site.	
MAST 1/2	Castle Toward	Mixed Use; Tourism/Business/Leisure/Housing/ Educational
Action:	Re market site	
Additional Information:		
Timescale:	Short to medium term	
Key Partners:	Argyll and Bute Council owned site	
Notes:	This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site.	
MAST 1/3	North Bute	Community Use; Tourism/ Leisure/Access
Action:	Low impact development proposed.	
Additional Information:	Preliminary Masterplan has been prepared. Paul Convery main planning contact.	
Timescale:	Short to medium term	
Key Partners:	Landowners	
Notes:	LDP also contains associated PDA 1001 within the Masterplan area.	

*NOTE: The requirement for 25% of each of these allocations to be affordable housing is suspended for two years from the date of adoption of the Local Development Plan

Potential Development Areas

Schedule No. PDA 1/1 – Rothesay: Westlands Road

Nature of Potential Development:	Medium density housing		
Notes:			
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP 9; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 1/4 – Port Bannatyne: Gortans Road

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density housing		
Notes:			
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP 9; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 1/6 – Port Bannatyne: Ardbeg Farm 1

Nature of Potential Development:	Medium density housing		
Notes:			
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP 9; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 1/7 – Port Bannatyne: Ardbeg Farm 2

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density housing		
Notes:			
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP 9; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 1/8 – Port Bannatyne: Ardbeg Farm 3

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density housing		
Notes:			
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP 9; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 2/1 - Ardfillayne

Nature of Potential Development:	Medium/low density housing		
Notes:	Developers need to check for European protected Species Buffer strip recommended (SEPA)		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP ENV 6; POLICY LDP 9; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 2/2 – Dunoon: Glenmorag

Nature of Potential Development:	Medium/low density housing		
Notes:	Developers need to check for European protected species		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP 9; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 2/3 – Dunoon: Glenmorag

Nature of Potential Development:	Medium/low density housing		
Notes:	Developers need to check for European protected species Buffer strip recommended (SEPA)		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP ENV 6; POLICY LDP 9; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP TOUR 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 2/4 – Dunoon: Glenmorag

Nature of Potential Development:	Medium/low density housing		
Notes:	Developers need to check for European protected species Buffer strip recommended (SEPA)		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP 9; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 2/5 – Dunoon: Dunloskin

Nature of Potential Development:	Medium density housing and retail based around existing Garden Centre.		
Notes:	Developers need to check for European protected species This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP 9; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP RET 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 2/6 – Dunoon: Dunloskin

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density housing set in wooded area. Potential for sustainable design		
Notes:	Developers need to check for European protected species This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP ENV 6; POLICY LDP 9; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 2/14 – Tighnabruaich: Middle Innens

Nature of Potential Development:	Medium density housing Higher density leisure housing		
Notes:	Developers need to check for European protected species		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP 9; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 2/35 – Portavadie: Pollphail

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed use – tourism/leisure; housing/business		
Notes:	Developers need to check for European protected species –Otters and Bats		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP TOUR 1; SG LDP SERV 1; SG LDP TRAN 4; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 2/37 – Portavadie

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed use – tourism; business; housing; marine related leisure		
Notes:	Developers need to check for European protected species		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP TOUR 1; SG LDP SERV 1; SG LDP TRAN 4; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 2/38 – Portavadie

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed use – tourism; business; housing; marine related leisure		
Notes:	Developers need to check for European protected species This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP TOUR 1; SG LDP SERV 1; SG LDP TRAN 4; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 2/42 – Toward: Castle Toward

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed use – tourism/leisure; business; housing; education Constraints –designed landscape; listed building; scheduled ancient monument (Toward Castle)		
Notes:	Developers need to check for European protected species Buffer strip recommended (SEPA) This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP 9; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP SERV 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 2/43 – Ardyne

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed use – tourism; marine related leisure and associated housing and aquaculture.		
Notes:	Developers need to check for European protected species This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP TOUR 1; SG LDP SERV 1; SG LDP TRAN 4; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 2/44 – Knockdow Estate

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed use – leisure; golf course; housing		
Notes:	Developers need to check for European protected species This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP ENV 6; POLICY LDP 9; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP TOUR 1; SG LDP SERV 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 2/46 – Largiemore

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed – holiday accommodation/housing Upper part (east most) of site is sensitive to development type and layout		
Notes:	Developers need to check for European protected species This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP ENV 6; POLICY LDP 9; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP TOUR 1; SG LDP SERV 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 2/47 – Sandbank: Orchard Farm

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed use – tourism/leisure		
Notes:	Developers need to check for European protected species This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP TOUR 1; SG LDP SERV 1; SG LDP TRAN 4; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 2/50 – Sandbank: Hoopers Yard

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed use – tourism; marine related leisure		
Notes:	<p>This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site.</p> <p>Developers need to check for European protected species</p>		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP TOUR 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 2/51 – Tighnabruaich: Boatyard

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed use – marine; tourism		
Notes:	<p>Developers need to check for European protected species.</p> <p>This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site.</p>		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP TOUR 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 2/100 – Toward

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density housing		
Notes:	Developers need to check for European protected species Buffer strip recommended (SEPA)		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP 9; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP SERV 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 2/101 – Acharossan

Nature of Potential Development:	Community forest croft project		
Notes:	Developers need to check for European protected species and birds		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP 9; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP SERV 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 2/102 – Strachur

Nature of Potential Development:	Medium/high density housing		
Notes:			
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP 9; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP SERV 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 9/12 Cairndow Oyster Bar

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed Use – Business/Tourism		
Notes:			
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP 9; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP SERV 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 9/13 Cairndow Inverfyne

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed use - Business/Housing/Recreation		
Notes:	Outline consent granted for 16 houses, workshops and child care centre (09/00385/OUT) on part of PDA 9/13 Developers need to check for EPS in respect of detailed planning application.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP 9; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP SERV 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 9/16 Ardkinglas

Nature of Potential Development:	Housing/New Estate Access		
Notes:	Planning consent granted for 15 dwelling houses, formation of access, sewage systems and landscaping (09/00463/DET) Developers are advised to check for EPS pre commencement.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP 9; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP SERV 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 1001 – North Bute

Nature of Potential Development:	Potential site for low impact development associated with tourism/recreation and the wider Masterplan area.		
Notes:	This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site. Any development should be low-impact design to reflect the location and NSA.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP 9; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP SERV 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 1003 – Glendaruel

Nature of Potential Development:	Potential site for forest crofts and/or enterprise centre		
Notes:	Developers need to check for European protected species/Protected species/Birds		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP 9; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP SERV 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 1004 – Strachur: Letters Way

Nature of Potential Development:	Medium density housing		
Notes:	Access to this site should not be taken from the adjacent housing allocation H-AL 2/15 This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site. Check for EPS.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP 9; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP SERV 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 1005 – Strachur

Nature of Potential Development:	Medium/high density housing		
Notes:	Developers need to check for European protected species/breeding survey required		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP 9; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP SERV 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 1006 – Strachur: South East of Manse Gardens

Nature of Potential Development:	Medium/high density housing		
Notes:	Developers need to check for European protected species		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP 9; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP SERV 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Areas For Action

Schedule No. AFA 1/1 – Rothesay Town Centre/Waterfront

Status:	Strategic <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local <input type="checkbox"/>
----------------	---	--------------------------------

Nature of Action:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To pursue an area for action in support of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stimulating investment and enhancement in Rothesay town centre and waterfront areas. • Increasing the competitiveness of Rothesay as an important tourist destination . • Realising a town centre enhancement opportunities. • Resolving development/redevelopment and relocation options and managing the town centre assets effectively. • Resolving traffic management options. • Resolving gap sites and poor condition of built heritage including Royal Hotel 2. To realise opportunities for further exploiting the potential of inner and outer harbour areas and adjacent land/water for leisure and commercial purposes. 3. Allied to 2. above, to consider and safeguard the role of Rothesay and its harbour/ferry infrastructure as potentially part of a trans-Clyde rapid transit strategy.
--------------------------	---

Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Resourcing Implications:		Capital	Revenue
	Council resources	CHORD funding	To be determined
	Partner resources	THI; Historic Scotland	To be determined

Schedule No. AFA 1/2 – Port Bannatyne Waterfront

Status:	Strategic <input type="checkbox"/>	Local <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>													
Nature of Action:	<p>1. To pursue an area for action at the Port Bannatyne waterfront area which will support:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regeneration on the Island of Bute. Expansion of water related tourist activity. <p>2. To pursue development, redevelopment and relocation opportunities focusing on the potential of the bay, foreshore and immediately contiguous property and land in order to service the needs of the marine and leisure community.</p>														
Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>												
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>												
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>												
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>												
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>												
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>												
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>												
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>												
Resourcing Implications:	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 30%;"></th> <th style="width: 20%;">Capital</th> <th style="width: 20%;">Revenue</th> <th style="width: 30%;"></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Council resources</td> <td>To be determined</td> <td>Bute Conservation Trust</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Partner resources</td> <td>To be determined</td> <td>To be determined</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				Capital	Revenue		Council resources	To be determined	Bute Conservation Trust		Partner resources	To be determined	To be determined	
	Capital	Revenue													
Council resources	To be determined	Bute Conservation Trust													
Partner resources	To be determined	To be determined													

Schedule No. AFA 1/4 – Rothesay: Barone Road/High Street

Status:	Strategic <input type="checkbox"/>	Local <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Nature of Action:	<p>1. To pursue an area for action in support of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stimulating investment and enhancement in Rothesay Town Centre and waterfront areas. • Re-structuring conflicting land uses. • Environmental enhancement. • Bring into use the former gas works site. • Re-organise and improve the Council depots. • Provide additional land for appropriate commercial/light industrial use. 		
Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
Resourcing Implications:			
	Council resources	Capital	Revenue
		To be determined	Bute Conservation Trust
Partner resources	To be determined	To be determined	

Schedule No. AFA 2/1 – Dunoon: Town Centre/Waterfront/West Bay

Status:	Strategic <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local <input type="checkbox"/>	
Nature of Action:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To pursue an area for action in the Dunoon town centre and waterfront which will support: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regeneration and investment in Dunoon and Cowal. • The potential for expanding water related tourist activity in this area. 2. To realise opportunities for marine/ferry infrastructure provision and effective asset management. 3. To promote town centre/waterfront enhancement schemes. 4. To resolve access/traffic management options in support of the above. 5. Developers to check for European Protected species. 		
Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Resourcing Implications:	Capital		Revenue
	Council resources	CHORD; Capital grant identified (for pier)	Staff time allocated
	Partner resources	ERDF bid for Pier	To be determined

Schedule No. AFA 2/2 – Dunoon: Argyll Street/Hamilton Street/Victoria Road

Status:	Strategic <input type="checkbox"/>	Local <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Nature of Action:	<p>1. To consider options for development/redevelopment and relocation in order to regenerate and uplift this important area close to the town centre.</p> <p>2. These considerations should include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assessment of any remedial decontamination works still outstanding. • Flooding minimisation and management in association with the Milton Burn. • Traffic management issues having regard to ingress and egress onto Argyll Street. • Environmental enhancement opportunities 		
Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
Resourcing Implications:	Capital		Revenue
	Council resources	Capital grant identified (for pier)	Staff time allocated
	Partner resources	Capital grant identified (for pier)	To be determined

Schedule No. AFA 2/3 – Ardyne

Status:	Strategic <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local <input type="checkbox"/>	
Nature of Action:	<p>1. To pursue an area for action that supports the redevelopment environmental improvements of the former oil platform construction site including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The development of a marine/leisure based facility. • The provision of berthing/landing facilities for trans-clyde ferry services. • The protection of existing mineral extraction within the AFA. • The provision of housing/leisure related accommodation and other facilities. • The re-use or removal of the redundant harbour walls, berthing areas and other structures associated with the former use of the site together with environmental improvements. • Developers need to check for European protected species 		
Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
Resourcing Implications:			
	Council resources	Capital	Revenue
		To be determined	To be determined
	Partner resources	To be determined	To be determined

Allocation Schedules

Business and Industry Allocations

Ref No.	Location	Use Classes	Site
BI-AL 3/1	Helensburgh - Craigendoran	4, 7 and garages selling or displaying motor vehicles	5.0
Action:	Waitrose granted consent for supermarket and petrol station now constructed		
Additional Information:	A sum of £150k has been made available through the granting of permission for the new Waitrose store that has been constructed on part of the site. This money is to help improve access to the remaining part of the business area.		
Timescale:	Short to medium term		
Key Partners:	Landowner /Scottish Enterprise		
Notes:	<p>No deterioration of the Red Burn ecological status that bisects the site; Buffer strip recommended (SEPA).</p> <p>This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site.</p> <p>For planning permission to be granted, development must ensure that there would be no adverse effect on the Inner Clyde Special Protection Area/Ramsar site, either alone or in combination with other plans or projects through factors such as construction and operational disturbance (including noise, vibration, timing of construction works relative to the bird wintering period, and timing of construction works relative to other projects that affect the SPA.</p>		

Community Facilities and Recreation Allocations

Ref No.	Location	Use	Site
CFR 3/3	Helensburgh – Kirkmichael	Community facility	0.44
Action:	Connection to public sewer		
Additional Information:			
Timescale:	Short to medium term		
Key Partners:			
Notes:			
CFR2001	Helensburgh Pierhead	Swimming pool, community leisure facility, open space, town centre parking with up to 2,700m² Class 1 retail uses	2.4
Action:	Connection to public sewer		
Additional Information:	Masterplan approved for site; work commenced on flood improvements prior to consideration of new development. Capital funds for community sports hub agreed.		
Timescale:	Short to medium term		
Key Partners:	Private landowner of portion of site		
Notes:	This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site.		

Housing Allocations

Ref No.	Location	Use	No. of Units	Affordability Minimum %
H-AL 3/1	Helensburgh – The Hermitage (1)	Housing	51	100%
Action:	Full planning consent granted for 49 affordable homes now under construction; additional consent for 2 affordable homes submitted.			
Additional Information:	Roundabout being constructed together with road works to also serve The hermitage (2) site Application 12/00833/PP Granted 28/08/2012 - 49units. 2 units granted 10/11/2008 under application ref 08/01417/DET not within adjusted boundary of site in PLDP – left in programming. (under construction)			
Timescale:	Short term			
Key Partners:	Registered Social Landlord			
Notes:	Buffer strip recommended (SEPA).			
H-AL 3/3	Garelochhead – Station Road	Housing	24	25%
Action:	Connection to public sewer			
Additional Information:				
Timescale:	Short to Medium			
Key Partners:				
Notes:	Potential impacts on small watercourses on site should be avoided; Buffer strip recommended (SEPA).			
H-AL 3/4	Garelochhead – Smithfield	Housing	8	25%
Action:	Connection to public sewer			
Additional Information:	1 completed on site. No further applications			
Timescale:	Short to Medium			
Key Partners:	Developer/landowner			
Notes:	Potential impacts on small watercourses on site should be avoided; Buffer strip recommended (SEPA).			
H-AL 3/6	Shandon – Blairvadach	Housing	64	25%
Action:	Connection to public sewer			
Additional Information:	Council has agreed to re-locate council offices to the former east Clyde Street building that will be refurbished and extended. Work to commence in 2013.			
Timescale:	Short to Medium			
Key Partners:	A and B Council			
Notes:	This allocation involves the re-use and conversion of the on-site listed building and new build on adjacent land. Mature trees to the front of the site will not be removed.			
H-AL 3/12	Helensburgh – Ardencaple	Housing	60	25%
Action:	Connection to public sewer			
Additional	16 of this number in the Adopted Local Plan -			

Information:	Effective Represents an increase in density and area to previous housing allocation that has consent for 16 residential units.
Timescale:	Short to Medium
Key Partners:	Landowner/developer
Notes:	Unclassified tributary of Clyde Estuary along boundary of site, impacts should be avoided; Buffer strip recommended (SEPA).

Housing Allocations

H2002	Cardross – Kirkton Farm 1	Housing	158	25%
Action:	Connection with public sewer required			
Additional Information:				
Timescale:	Short to Medium			
Key Partners:	Landowner/developer			
Notes:	Access issue; proximity to village school; Buffer strip recommended (SEPA).			
H2004	Helensburgh East – Helensburgh Golf Club	Housing	300	25%
Action:	Connection with public sewer required			
Additional Information:				
Timescale:	Short to Medium			
Key Partners:	Landowner/developer			
Notes:	Phased release required; access issue; right of way has to be preserved (Old Luss Road); potential impacts on small watercourses on site need to be carefully avoided; Buffer strip recommended (SEPA). Development of this site requires that there is no diminution of the golf club facilities, and where there is compensatory measures must be provided in accordance with SPP.			
H2005	Helensburgh East – Sawmill Field, Cardross Road	Housing	145	25%
Action:	Connection to public sewer required			
Additional Information:	Inclusion in plan supported by indicative Masterplan document For planning permission to be granted, development must ensure that there would be no adverse effect on the Inner Clyde Special Protection Area/Ramsar site, either alone or in combination with other plans or projects through factors such as construction and operational disturbance (including noise, vibration, timing of construction works relative to the bird wintering period, and timing of construction works relative to other projects that affect the SPA.			
Timescale:	Short to Medium			
Key Partners:	Landowner/developer			
Notes:	No deterioration of the Red Burn ecological status that runs along the western boundary of the site; Buffer strip recommended (SEPA). This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site.			
H2006	Shandon – Blairvadach House	Housing	48	25%
Action:	Connection to public sewer required			
Additional				

Information:	
Timescale:	Short to Medium
Key Partners:	
Notes:	Potential impacts on small watercourses on site should be avoided; Buffer strip recommended (SEPA).

Housing Allocations

Ref No.	Location	Use	No. of Units	Affordability Minimum %
H2007	Helensburgh – The Hermitage (2)	Housing	109	0
Action:	Connection to public sewer required			
Additional Information:	Full approved masterplan available			
Timescale:	Short to Medium			
Key Partners:	Landowner/Developer 07/01955/DET – issued – 24/07/2008 166 units (109 on this site). H-AL 3/1 split in PLDP. Part of site leased to 3 rd Party.			
Action:				
Notes:	Site does not require the delivery of affordable housing; Buffer strip recommended (SEPA).			
TOTAL			967	

Potential Development Areas

Ref No.	Location	Use	Density	Affordability Minimum %
PDA 3/11	Rosneath – Waterfront (1)	Mixed use – housing/leisure	Medium	25%
PDA 3/12	Rosneath – Waterfront (2)	Mixed use – leisure/housing/business	Medium	25%
PDA 3/13	Rosneath – Waterfront (3)	Mixed use – leisure/tourism/business	N/A	N/A
PDA 3/14	Rosneath – Waterfront (4)	Mixed use – housing/leisure/tourism/business/ retail	High	25%
PDA 3/29	Rhu Marina	Mixed use – housing/leisure/tourism/business/retail	N/A	25%
PDA2001	Helensburgh – Claddoch Moss Road	Business and industry (Class 5 and 6)	N/A	N/A

Areas for Action

Ref No.	Location	Use
AFA 3/1	Helensburgh Town Centre and Waterfront	Strategic; regenerate and enhancement
AFA 3/3	Helensburgh – Rosneath Bay	Local; regenerate and improving accessibility
AFA 3/4	Helensburgh – Craigmendoran	Local; recreation/tourist development
AFA 3/6	Kilmahew/Cardross	Local; environmental improvements. Consideration of potential regeneration/redevelopment
AFA 3/7	Garelochhead	Local; enhance recreation and open space
AFA 3/9	Helensburgh Reservoirs	Local; enhancement/redevelopment of redundant reservoirs
AFA 3/10	Garelochhead Village Centre	Local; environmental enhancement
AFA 3/18	Black Wood, Colgrain	Local; regeneration, access and environmental improvement
AFA 3/19	Rosneath Village Centre	Local; development and environmental improvement
AFA 3/20	Rosneath Camsail Wood	Local; forest restoration and management
AFA2001	Kilcreggan	Local; environmental enhancements
AFA2002	Geilston Estate, Cardross	Local, safeguarding of historic property, consideration of redevelopment and enhancement, preparation of development brief required.

Strategic Masterplan Areas

Ref No.	Location	Use
MAST 1/3	Hermitage	Housing
Action:	New access required	
Additional Information:	New roundabout under construction with link road together with 51 affordable houses for rent.	
Timescale:	Short to Medium	
Key Partners:	Landowner/developer	
Notes:	This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site.	
MAST 1/4	Helensburgh Pierhead	Mixed Use; Tourism/Retail/Leisure/ Public Realm
Action:	Flood alleviation works under consideration	
Additional Information:		
Timescale:	Short to Medium	
Key Partners:	Argyll and Bute Council/ Private Landowner	
Notes:		

Potential Development Areas

Schedule No. PDA 3/11 – Rosneath: Waterfront (1)

Nature of Potential Development:	Predominantly mid-market housing uses with allowance for affordable components. Community and leisure opportunities are anticipated to complement AFA 3/3.		
Notes:	Developers need to check for European protected species Require connection to Scottish Water sewer. Joined up approach recommended to drainage in PDA 3/11; 3/12; 3/13 and 3/14		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP 11; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 8; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; Appendix 1; Appendix 3		

Schedule No. PDA 3/12 – Rosneath: Waterfront (2)

Nature of Potential Development:	Predominantly business/leisure/housing uses to support AFA 3/3.		
Notes:	Developers need to check for European protected species Require connection to Scottish Water sewer. Joined up approach recommended to drainage in PDA 3/11; 3/12; 3/13 and 3/14		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP 11; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP5; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 3/13 – Rosneath: Waterfront (3)

Nature of Potential Development:	Predominantly business/leisure/tourism uses to support AFA 3.		
Notes:	<p>Developers need to check for European protected species</p> <p>Require connection to Scottish Water sewer. Joined up approach recommended to drainage in PDA 3/11; 3/12; 3/13 and 3/14</p>		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Master pan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP 11; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP5; POLICY LDP5; SG LDP TOUR 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 3/14 – Rosneath: Waterfront (4)

Nature of Potential Development:	Leisure/housing uses together with supporting business, tourism and small-scale retail elements.		
Notes:	<p>Developers need to check for European protected species</p> <p>Require connection to Scottish Water sewer. Joined up approach recommended to drainage in PDA 3/11; 3/12; 3/13 and 3/14</p>		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP 11; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 8; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 3/29 – Rhu Marina

Nature of Potential Development:	Leisure/housing uses together with supporting business, tourism and small-scale retail elements.		
Notes:	<p>Developers need to check for European protected species</p> <p>Buffer strip recommended (SEPA)</p> <p>Connection to Scottish Water sewer</p> <p>Need to access potential impacts on ecological status of small watercourses within site and Clyde Estuary should be avoided through any development proposals</p> <p>This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site.</p>		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP 11; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 8; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP 5; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA2001 – Helensburgh: Claddoch, Moss Road

Nature of Potential Development:	Business and Industry (Class 5 and 6)		
Notes:	<p>Potential general business and industrial area, suitable for small users. Improvements to public access regime (adopted road access required). Landscaping and screen planting.</p> <p>For planning permission to be granted, development must ensure that there would be no adverse effect on the Inner Clyde Special Protection Area/Ramsar site, either alone or in combination with other plans or projects through factors such as construction and operational disturbance (including noise, vibration, timing of construction works relative to the bird wintering period, and timing of construction works relative to other projects that affect the SPA.</p>		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP 11; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP 5; SG LDP BUS 1; SG LDP TRAN 4; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Areas For Action

Schedule No. AFA 3/1 – Helensburgh Town Centre and Waterfront

Status:	Strategic <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local <input type="checkbox"/>	
Nature of Action:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To pursue an area for action which will support the regeneration and enhancement of Helensburgh town centre and waterfront areas. 2. To investigate the role of the Helensburgh waterfront to support the realisation of trans-Clyde ferry and rapid-transit potential. 3. To consider the relationship of this area with “people movement plans”. 4. To consider harbour (land and water) potential – implications for pier regeneration and dredging. 5. To consider opportunities for waterfront enhancement. 6. To further consider redevelopment options taking into consideration: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The swimming pool/community sports centre • CHORD public realm improvements (under construction) • Additional retail facilities • The car/coach park • Existing users (e.g. funfair operation) • Traffic management, parking and access arrangements • Tourist attraction potential • The framework principles of the Pierhead Masterplan 2012 • Relocation opportunities and implications 7. To resolve flooding and erosion issues. 8. Developers need to check for European protected species 		
Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Resourcing Implications:	Capital		Revenue
	Council resources	Capital budget identified for Community sports hub; Elements of public realm; Flood alleviation	To be determined
	Partner resources	To be determined	To be determined

Schedule No. AFA 3/3 – Helensburgh: Rosneath Bay

Status: Strategic Local

Nature of Action:

1. To pursue an area for action for the west Helensburgh and Rhu waterfront areas and improving accessibility to Rosneath peninsula.
2. To consider development/redevelopment potential at the Rhu marina and at Rosneath (including tourist development opportunities).
3. To consider potential waterway linkage (including ferry operation) between Helensburgh pier, Rhu marina and Rosneath.
4. Developers need to check for European protected species

Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>

Resourcing Implications:	Capital		Revenue	
	Council resources	To be determined	To be determined	
		Partner resources	To be determined	To be determined

Schedule No. AFA 3/4 – Helensburgh: Craigendoran

Status:	Strategic <input type="checkbox"/>	Local <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
----------------	------------------------------------	---

Nature of Action:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To consider Craigendoran pier recreation/tourist development potential (including opportunities for rail linkage). 2. To consider opportunities for low-key marine associated development on coastal edge (consistent with retention of open space, local wildlife site and residential amenity). 3. To consider cost/benefits of improving road junction and traffic management options in support of the above. 4. To consider feasibility of achieving a promenade link from Craigendoran to town centre/waterfront. 5. Developers need to check for European protected species 6. For planning permission to be granted, development must ensure that there would be no adverse effect on the integrity of Inner Clyde Special Protection Area/Ramsar site, either alone or in combination with other plans or projects, through such factors as construction, operational or recreational disturbance.
--------------------------	---

Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>

Resourcing Implications:	Capital		Revenue	
	Council resources	To be determined	To be determined	
	Partner resources	To be determined	To be determined	

Schedule No. AFA 3/6 – Kilmahew/Cardross

Status:	Strategic <input type="checkbox"/>	Local <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Nature of Action:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To resolve the greenbelt/potential development area tension which requires a positive outcome before development within this area can be pursued in accordance with the development plan. 2. To ensure opportunities and potential for the area to be used and accessed by the general public (particularly the Cardross community) for leisure and recreation purposes are realised. 3. To consider opportunities to effectively manage the Kilmahew woodland policies. 4. To further consider and support options for safeguarding and bringing into sustainable re-use the Category A Listed St Peters Seminary at the heart of the Kilmahew policies. 5. To consider development potential including possibly housing, tourist accommodation, business, educational and industry, leisure and recreation and institutional components (such development potential will depend on resolution of the greenbelt zoning constraint). 		
Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
Resourcing Implications:	Capital		Revenue
	Council resources	To be determined	To be determined
	Partner resources	To be determined	To be determined

Schedule No. AFA 3/7 - Garelochhead

Status:	Strategic <input type="checkbox"/>	Local <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Nature of Action:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> To consider options to effectively manage and visually enhance this important recreation and open space area within the village. This may include consideration of options for low-key recreational development links to access provision. 		
Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
Resourcing Implications:	Capital		Revenue
	Council resources	To be determined	To be determined
	Partner resources	To be determined	To be determined

Schedule No. AFA 3/9 – Helensburgh Reservoirs

Status:	Strategic <input type="checkbox"/>	Local <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Nature of Action:	1. To consider options for the enhancement/redevelopment of the redundant reservoirs.		
Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
Resourcing Implications:	Capital		Revenue
	Council resources	To be determined	To be determined
	Partner resources	To be determined	To be determined

Schedule No. AFA 3/10 – Garelochhead Village Centre

Status:	Strategic <input type="checkbox"/>	Local <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Nature of Action:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> To consider options for development/redevelopment and environmental enhancement in the village centre. To facilitate the relocation of disadvantageously situated businesses and uses. To improve traffic management. 		
Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
Resourcing Implications:	Capital		Revenue
	Council resources	To be determined	To be determined
	Partner resources	To be determined	To be determined

Schedule No. AFA 3/18 – Black Wood, Colgrain

Status:	Strategic <input type="checkbox"/>	Local <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Nature of Action:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> To consider options for the regeneration and environmental recovery of a degraded woodland/foreshore. To improve public access to the area where possible. Developers need to check for European protected species Detailed proposals must ensure that there would be no adverse effect on the integrity of the Inner Clyde Special Protection Area/Ramsar site, either alone or in combination with other plans or projects, through recreational disturbance (including dog walking). Where a proposal requires planning permission, this will only be granted where the planning authority is satisfied that this requirement has been met, following consultation with Scottish Natural Heritage. 		
Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>

Resourcing Implications:		Capital	Revenue
	Council resources	To be determined	To be determined
	Partner resources	To be determined	To be determined

Schedule No. AFA 3/19 – Rosneath Village Centre

Status:	Strategic <input type="checkbox"/>	Local <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
----------------	------------------------------------	---

Nature of Action:	1. To consider options for development/redevelopment and environmental improvement in the village centre.
--------------------------	---

Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>

Resourcing Implications:		Capital	Revenue
	Council resources	To be determined	To be determined
	Partner resources	To be determined	To be determined

Schedule No. AFA 3/20 – Rosneath: Camsail Wood

Status:	Strategic <input type="checkbox"/>	Local <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
----------------	------------------------------------	---

Nature of Action:	Restoration and woodland management.
--------------------------	--------------------------------------

Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>

Resourcing Implications:		Capital	Revenue	No Council resources identified. Restoration of woodland by landowner.
	Council resources	To be determined	To be determined	
	Partner resources	To be determined	To be determined	

Schedule No. AFA2001 - Kilcreggan

Status: Strategic Local

Nature of Action: To explore the opportunities for environmental improvements, improved public access and recreation and tourist facilities, together with potential for some limited enabling development such as housing (including affordable).
Developers need to check for European protected species

Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>

Resourcing Implications:			Capital	Revenue
	Council resources	To be determined	To be determined	
	Partner resources	To be determined	To be determined	

Schedule No. AFA2002- Geilston Estate, Cardross

Status: Strategic Local

Nature of Action: Local, safeguarding of historic property, consideration of redevelopment and enhancement, preparation of development brief required.
Developers need to check for European protected species

Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>

Resourcing Implications:			Capital	Revenue
	Council resources	To be determined	To be determined	
	Partner resources	To be determined	To be determined	

Mid Argyll, Kintyre and Islay/Lead Officer Sybil Johnson (Mid Argyll and Islay);Paul Convery (Kintyre)

Allocation Schedules

Business and Industry Allocations

Ref No.	Location	Use Classes	Site Size (Ha)
BI-AL 9/1	Inveraray – North	4, 5, 6	1.38
Action:			
Additional Information:			
Timescale:	Short to longer term		
Key Partners:	Landowner		
Notes:			
BI-AL 9/2	Inveraray – South	4, 5, 6	0.61
Action:			
Additional Information:			
Timescale:	Short to longer term		
Key Partners:	Landowner		
Notes:			
BI-AL 9/3	Inveraray – East	4, 5, 6	0.82
Action:			
Additional Information:			
Timescale:	Short to longer term		
Key Partners:	Landowner		
Notes:			
BI-AL 10/3	Bridgend	4, 5, 6	6.7
Action:			
Additional Information:	Sewage and water provision issue		
Timescale:	Short to longer term		
Key Partners:			
Notes:	Check for EPS.		

Business and Industry Allocations

Ref No.	Location	Use Classes	Site Size (Ha)
BI-AL 12/1	Lochgilphead – Kilmory Phase	4, 6	2.0
Action:			
Additional Information:			
Timescale:	Short to longer term		
Key Partners:			
Notes:			
BI-AL 12/2	Lochgilphead - Ach nabreck	5, 6, Biomass related	4.29
Action:			
Additional Information:	Full planning consent granted for bio mass plant awaiting connection to grid		
Timescale:	2014		
Key Partners:			
Notes:			
BI-AL 13/1	Tarbert – Glasgow Road	4, 5, 6	6.593/1
Action:			
Additional Information:			
Timescale:	On-going		
Key Partners:	Landowner		
Notes:	Buffer strip recommended (SEPA).		
BI-AL 14/1	Campbeltown – Snipefield	4, 5, 6	2.49
Action:	Market site		
Additional Information:			
Timescale:	On-going		
Key Partners:	HIE		
Notes:			
BI3001	Lochgilphead South	4, 5, 6	7.8
Action:			
Additional Information:			
Timescale:	Short to longer term		
Key Partners:	Private Developer/Transport Scotland		
Notes:			

Community Facilities and Recreation Allocations

Ref No.	Location	Use	Area (Ha)
CFR 12/1	Lochgilphead – Kilmory	Sports facilities	4.0
Action:			
Additional Information:			
Timescale:	Short to longer term		
Key Partners:			
Notes:			

Housing Allocations

Ref No.	Location	Use	No. of Units	Affordability Minimum %
H-AL 10/1	Ballygrant	Housing	30	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:	Sewage and water provision issue			
Timescale:	On-going			
Key Partners:				
Notes:				
H-AL 10/5	Bruichladdich	Housing	29	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:	Sewage and water provision issue			
Timescale:	On-going			
Key Partners:	Landowner			
Notes:				
H-AL 10/6	Keills	Housing	22	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:	Sewage and water provision issue			
Timescale:	On-going			
Key Partners:	Landowner			
Notes:				

Housing Allocations continued

Ref No.	Location	Use	No. of Units	Affordability Minimum %
H-AL 10/7	Port Charlotte	Housing	30	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:	07/02313/OUT – 30 units issued 06/03/2008 – lapsed Delay due to development funding constraints Sewage and water provision issue Avoidance of any adverse effect on integrity of Rinns of Islay SPA.			
Timescale:	On-going			
Key Partners:	Landowner/developer			
Notes:				
H-AL 10/8	Port Ellen	Housing	40	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:	Boundary adjustment required due to ownership issue. Rocky outcrops in site			
Timescale:	On-going			
Key Partners:	Landowner			
Notes:	Check for EPS.			
H-AL 10/10	Gleneedale	Housing	10	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:	2 units completed within site 2003/4 removed this area and area to rear of houses in PLDP.			
Timescale:	On-going			
Key Partners:				
Notes:				
H-AL 12/1	Lochgilphead, Fernoch Crescent	Housing	8	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:				
Timescale:				
Key Partners:	Landowner/developer			
Notes:				
H-AL 12/4	Ardfern – Soroba	Housing	30	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:	Sewage provision issue			
Timescale:	On-going			
Key Partners:	Landowner/developer			
Notes:				

Housing Allocations continued

Ref No.	Location	Use	No. of Units	Affordability Minimum %
H-AL 12/6	Ardrishaig – Kilduskland South	Housing	15	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:				
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:	Landowner			
Notes:				
H-AL 12/15	Kilmichael Glassary – Bridgend	Housing	25	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:				
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:	Landowner			
Notes:				
H-AL 12/16	Lochgair	Housing	24	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:	10/01076/PP – 1 unit only, renewed application. Not started. Issued 11/11/2011			
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:	Landowner/developer			
Notes:				
H-AL 12/19	Minard	Housing	23	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:	06/01723/DET – 6 units under construction 08/01091/DET – 13 units not yet started – issued 17/03/2009 PLDP increases capacity			
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:	Landowner/developer			
Notes:				
H-AL 12/20	Ardrishaig – Kilduskland (New)	Housing	35	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:				
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:	Landowner			
Notes:				

Housing Allocations continued

Ref No.	Location	Use	No. of Units	Affordability Minimum %
H-AL 12/21	Tayvallich	Housing	16	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:	Application granted for 1 house with indicative Masterplan showing the development of the rest of the site			
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:	Landowner/developer			
Notes:	Sewage provision issue			
H-AL 13/1	Tarbert – Lady Ileene Road	Housing	31	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:				
Timescale:	On-going			
Key Partners:	Landowner/developer			
Notes:				
H-AL 13/2	Tarbert – Campbeltown Road	Housing	30	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:	Access required to Trunk road			
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:	Landowner/developer			
Notes:	Check for EPS.			
H-AL 13/4	Tarbert – Oakhill/Easfield	Housing	50	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:				
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:	Landowner/developer			
Notes:				
H-AL 14/1	Campbeltown – Braeside	Housing	90	0
Action:				
Additional Information:	05/00565/OUT – 23 units on approx. ½ of site. 15/03/2010 – decision issued on.			
Timescale:				
Key Partners:	Landowner/developer			
Notes:				

Housing Allocations continued

Ref No.	Location	Use	No. of Units	Affordability Minimum %
H-AL 14/2	Campbeltown – Pole Park	Housing	20	0
Action:				
Additional Information:				
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:				
Notes:				
H-AL 14/3	Campbeltown – Dalintober	Housing	23	0
Action:				
Additional Information:	09/00753/DET – 4 semis (2 built) 10/00187/PP – 4 flats			
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:	Landowner/ developer			
Notes:				
H-AL 14/4	Campbeltown – Fort Argyll	Housing	15	0
Action:				
Additional Information:				
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:				
Notes:				
H-AL 14/5	Campbeltown – Kilkerran	Housing	50	0
Action:				
Additional Information:				
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:				
Notes:				
H-AL 14/6	Carradale	Housing	22	0
Action:				
Additional Information:				
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:				
Notes:				

Housing Allocations continued

Ref No.	Location	Use	No. of Units	Affordability Minimum %
H-AL 14/7	Peninver	Housing	20	0
Action:				
Additional Information:	10/01157/PPP – 8 units part site, issued -24/09/2010.			
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:				
Notes:				
H-AL 14/8	Southend	Housing	14	0
Action:				
Additional Information:				
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:				
Notes:				
H3001	Port Ellen	Housing	15	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:				
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:				
Notes:	Check for EPS.			
H3002	Fernoch Farm, Lochgilhead	Housing	60	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:				
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:	Landowner/ developer			
Notes:				
H3003	Inveraray – South	Housing	9	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:	PDA 9/4 in Adopted Local Plan 2009 11/02334/PPP issued 30/05/2012 9 units Now included as Housing Allocation in PDA to reflect resolution of constraints through planning application process			
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:				
Notes:				

Housing Allocations continued

Ref No.	Location	Use	No. of Units	Affordability Minimum %
H3004	Achahoish – Central	Housing, including sports pitch	10	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:	This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site.			
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:				
Notes:				
H3005	Lochgilphead – Moneydrain Road	Housing	44	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:	Adopted Local Plan 2009 – PDA 12/54. Planning Permission 07/00322/DET issued 18/11/2011 for 8 unit (7 on site) and football pitch. 10 units allowed for on-site should further application be received. This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site.			
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:	Landowner / developer			
Notes:				
H3006	Lochgilphead – High School	Housing	160	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:	09/00950/DET - 28/10/2009 88 units 09/00942/OUT - 23/12/2009 – 4 units 09/00944/OUT - 23/12/2009 – 4 units 11/00377/PP -09/05/2011 – (11units) 2units = 98			
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:	Landowner / developer			
Notes:				
H3007	Campbeltown – Bellfield	Housing	60	0
Action:				
Additional Information:	Adopted local Plan 2009 - PDA 14/7, PDA 14/8 amalgamated in PLDP into H3007 06/02492/OUT – issued 20/09/2007Conditioned (10) to max of 60 units.			
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:				
Notes:				
H3008	Campbeltown – Roding	Housing	12	0
Action:				
Additional Information:	07/01208/OUT – issued 23/09/2009 13 units 10/01310/PP – issued 12/10/2010 – 1 unit 06/00163/DET – issued 07/03/2006 – 1 unit 12/02023/PP – issued 29/11/2012 – 1 unit			

Timescale:	Short to medium term
Key Partners:	
Notes:	
TOTAL 1072	

Mineral Allocations

Ref No.	Location	Use	Life Expectancy
MIN-AL 9/1	Furnace	Hard rock	15+ years
Action:	ASNW recorded areas only - a species survey and if necessary mitigation plan should be submitted with any future planning applications.		
Additional Information:	Impact on ancient woodland – Policy on Control of Woodland Removal applies; a species survey and if necessary mitigation plan should be submitted with any future planning applications		
Timescale:	Short to longer term		
Key Partners:			
Notes:	<p>Check for EPS. Part of allocation lies within area noted as Ancient Semi Natural Woodland Scheduled Monuments in the Vicinity:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Furnace, Ironworks (Index No. 2530) <p>Category A listed Buildings in the Vicinity:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Craleckan Ironworks (HB Num 49844) <p>The allocation is located to the east of these heritage assets. (See policy SG LDP ENV 19)</p>		
MIN-AL 10/1	Ballygrant	Hard rock	<5 years
Action:			
Additional Information:	Sort term		
Timescale:	Short to Medium		
Key Partners:			
Notes:			
MIN-AL 12/1	Achnaba – The Cut	Hard Rock	10-15 years
Action:			
Additional Information:			
Timescale:	Short to longer term		
Key Partners:	Landowner		
Notes:			
MIN-AL 12/2	Kilmartin	Sand and Gravel	<5 years
Action:			
Additional Information:	Need for possible expansion during life of LDP		
Timescale:	On-going consent granted – short term		
Key Partners:	Landowner		
Notes:	Archaeology rich area		

Mineral Allocations continued

Ref No.	Location	Use	Life Expectancy
MIN-AL 13/1	Corran Farm	Hard Rock	<35 years
Action:			
Additional Information:	Full detailed planning consent		
Timescale:	Short to longer term		
Key Partners:			
Notes:			
MIN-AL 14/1	Calliburn Farm	Hard Rock	15+ years
Action:			
Additional Information:	Full detailed planning consent		
Timescale:	Short to longer term		
Key Partners:			
Notes:			
MIN-AL 14/2	Langa Quarry	Sand and Gravel	15+ years
Action:			
Additional Information:	Full detailed planning consent		
Timescale:	Short to longer term		
Key Partners:			
Notes:			

Mixed Used Allocations

Ref No.	Location	Use	Area/Units (Ha)	Affordability %
MU3001	Inveraray	Mixed use – housing (including affordable), business (Class 4), tourism (including hotel) and community facilities	150 dwelling units 8.7 ha	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:	Masterplan required			
Timescale:	Short to longer term			
Key Partners:	Landowner			
Notes:				
MU3002	Bowmore, Islay	Mixed use – housing (including affordable), industry, business (Class 4) and community facilities	90 dwelling units 1.9 ha industry/ business use 2 ha open space/ community use	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:	Phase 1 consented for 20 affordable housing units and business to assist access to main site.			
Timescale:	Short to longer term			
Key Partners:	Landowner			
Notes:	For planning permission to be granted, development proposals must ensure that there would be no adverse effect on the integrity and qualifying interests of the Laggan Special Protection Area, either alone or in combination with other plans or projects, through recreational disturbance. To demonstrate this, a Recreation Management Plan should be prepared to accompany the planning application, for the approval of the planning authority in consultation with Scottish Natural Heritage.			
MU3003	Port Mhor, Port Charlotte, Islay	Tourism/community facilities	5.8 ha	N/A
Action:				
Additional Information:	Scheduled Monuments within the Allocation: Port Charlotte, chambered cairn (Index No. 3937) Clyde type cairn situated in a field at the edge of the raised beach, 750m SW of Port Charlotte. Refer to policy SG LDP ENV 19			
Timescale:	Short term			
Key Partners:				
Notes:	Avoidance of any adverse effect on integrity of Rinns of Islay SPA			

Mixed Used Allocations continued

Ref No.	Location	Use	Area/Units (Ha)	Affordability %
MU3004	Lochgilphead South	Industry (Class 5), business (Class 4), Storage (Class 6) and community use	9.3 ha	N/A
Action:				
Additional Information:				
Timescale:	Short to longer term			
Key Partners:	Landowners/ developers			
Notes:				

Potential Development Areas

Ref No.	Location	Use	Density	Affordability %
PDA 8/1	Scalasaig	Housing	Mixed	25%
PDA 9/8	Furnace	Housing	Low	25%
PDA 9/15	Inveraray	Mixed Uses – commercial/business/ tourism	N/A	N/A
PDA 10/1	Bowmore – off Birch Drive	Housing	Mixed	25%
PDA 10/2	Bowmore – Glebe Land	Housing	Mixed	25%
PDA 10/3	Bowmore – Beech Avenue	Housing	High	25%
PDA 10/15	Port Ellen – Imeraval Road	Housing	Low	25%
PDA 10/16	Port Ellen – Heather Park	Housing	Low	25%
PDA 10/23	Bridgend – Islay House Home Farm	Business and industry	N/A	N/A
PDA 10/28	Glenevedale 3	Business and industry	N/A	N/A
PDA 10/29	Glenevedale – North	Housing	Low	25%
PDA 10/30	Glenevedale – Mid	Housing	Medium	25%
PDA 10/31	Glenevedale – South	Housing	Medium	25%
PDA 10/33	Ballygrant	Housing	Low/medium	25%
PDA 10/34	Bowmore – Shore Street	Mixed use – education/ residential	N/A	25%
PDA 11/3	Craighouse – Ballard	Housing	Low	25%
PDA 12/3	Lochgilphead – County Yard	Housing	Mixed	25%
Ref No.	Location	Use	Density	Affordability %
PDA 12/13	Ardfern – Craighdu	Retail/business and industry	N/A	N/A
PDA 12/19	Ardrishaig – South Ardrishaig	Housing	Low	25%
PDA 12/21	Cairnbaan – Daill Farm	Housing	Low	25%
PDA 12/23	Cairnbaan – South of Lock 5	Mixed uses	N/A	N/A

PDA 12/31	Craobh Haven	Affordable housing	Low	100%
PDA 12/32	Kilmichael Glassary – North	Housing	Mixed	25%
PDA 12/34	Kilmichael Glassary – South	Housing	Medium	25%
PDA 12/37	Minard, Inverae Road	Recreation/open space with potential for small scale enabling housing development (not exceeding 5 houses)	Low	25%
PDA 12/38	Crarae Garden	Tourism	N/A	N/A
PDA 12/39	Crarae Point	Waterbased recreation	N/A	N/A
PDA 12/42	Tayvallich – Back Brae	Affordable housing	Medium	100%
PDA 12/45	Tayvallich – adjacent to Carvel	Housing	Low	25%
PDA 12/46	Tayvallich – Kintallen	Housing	Medium	25%
PDA 12/47	Tayvallich – Skurivaig	Housing	Low	25%
PDA 12/51	Tayvallich – Carsaig	Tourism/mixed use	N/A	N/A
PDA 12/53	Achahoish – South	Housing	Mixed	25%
PDA 12/55	Achahoish – West	Housing	Low	25%
PDA 12/56	Crinan – North	Housing	Medium	25%
PDA 12/57	Crinan – South	Housing	Mixed	25%
PDA 12/62	Inverneill – North	Housing	Low	25%
PDA 12/70	Ford – North	Mixed uses	Medium	25%
PDA 12/72	Ford – South	Housing	Low	25%
PDA 12/73	Torran, by Ford – Torran Farm	Affordable housing	Mixed	25%
PDA 12/74	Torran – Torran Lochside	Community facilities/recreation	N/A	N/A
PDA 12/75	Achnaba	Tourism/housing	Low	25%
PDA 12/76	Lunga, by Craobh	Tourism/residential caravan park/housing	N/A	N/A
PDA 12/77	Brenfield, by Inverneill	Tourism	N/A	N/A
PDA 12/78	Inverneill – South	Housing	Low	25%
PDA 12/80	Ardfern – Glebe Land	Affordable housing and open space	High	100%
PDA 12/81	Craobh Haven	Tourism – caravan park	N/A	N/A
PDA 12/83	Kilmichael Glassary Woods	Housing	Low	25%
PDA 13/2	Tarbert – Lady Ileene Road	Housing	Low	25%
PDA 13/3	Tarbert – Barfad	Eco-Housing (5)	Low	N/A

Potential Development Areas continued

Ref No.	Location	Use	Density	Affordability %
PDA 13/4	Tarbert – Glenfield	Housing	High/medium/low	25%
PDA 14/1	Campbeltown – Hillside Farm	Housing	High/medium	0
PDA 14/2	Campbeltown – Craiggowan Road	Housing	Medium	0
PDA 14/3	Campbeltown – Balegreggan	Housing	Medium	0
PDA 14/4	Campbeltown – Bellfield	Housing	Medium/low	0
PDA 14/5	Campbeltown – Bellfield	Housing	Medium/low	0
PDA 14/6	Campbeltown – Land to the rear of Bellgrove and Auchinlee	Housing	Medium/low	0
PDA 14/14	Campbeltown – Gallowhill	Housing	Medium	0
PDA 14/15	Campbeltown – Witchburn Road	Housing	Medium	0
PDA 14/27	Machrihanish	Housing	Medium	0
PDA 14/54	Peninver	Housing	Medium/low	0
PDA3001	Machrihanish – Campbeltown Airport	Proposed site for ‘up market’ houses	Low	N/A
PDA3002	Tarbert – Land east of Barfad	Housing	Low	25%
PDA3003	Southend – Kilmashenigan	Tourism – static caravan site	N/A	N/A
PDA3004	Bowmore North – Islay	Housing	Medium	25%
PDA3005	Bowmore Hospital Road – Islay	Housing	Low	25%
PDA3006	Craobh Haven	Mixed use – tourism/housing/business		15%
PDA3007	Salachry	Crofting township small scale development		
PDA3008	Lochgilphead, Argyll and Bute Hospital	Mixed use	N/A	25%
PDA3009	Baddens, Lochgilphead	Hotel/outdoor recreation facilities	N/A	N/A
PDA3010	Home Farm, Lochgilphead	Community use	N/A	N/A
PDA3011	Inchjura, Carsaig	Tourism	N/A	N/A
PDA3012	Craobh Haven	Mixed use	N/A	25%
PDA3013	Clock Lodge, Lochgilphead	Community facilities, Tourism/recreation, enabling development	N/A	N/A

Areas For Action

Ref No.	Location	Nature of Action
AFA3001	Ballygrant Old Quarry – Islay	Local environmental improvement, assess potential to accommodate industrial, retail and community uses
AFA 9/1	Inveraray Town Centre and Waterfront	Local; historic regeneration and enhancement
AFA 10/4	Port Ellen – Village Centre and Waterfront	Local; environmental enhancement
AFA 12/1	Lochgilphead Town Centre/Waterfront	Local; environmental enhancement and flood prevention
AFA 12/5	Ardrihaig – South Village Centre and Crinan Canal	Local; redevelopment and environmental enhancement
AFA 12/10	Ardfern – Central	Local; environmental enhancement
AFA 12/12	Lochgilphead – Kilmory Home Farm	Local; redevelopment and enhancement
AFA 13/1	Tarbert Harbour and Conservation Area	Strategic; harbour improvements; regeneration and environmental improvements
AFA 13/2	Tarbert – South Campbeltown Road/Back Street	Local; land use rationalisation and redevelopment
AFA 13/3	Clachan Village	Local; traffic management and environmental enhancement
AFA 14/1	Campbeltown Harbour	Strategic; harbour improvements and development
AFA 14/3	Campbeltown – Quarry Green	Local; waterfront environmental enhancement
AFA 14/4	Campbeltown – Town Centre (Longrow/Kinloch Road) and Conservation Area	Strategic; relocation/redevelopment town centre improvement. Conservation Area/Listed Buildings
AFA 14/5	Campbeltown – Roading/Glebe Street	Strategic; relocation/redevelopment edge of town centre improvement
AFA 14/6	Carradale Harbour	Local; harbour improvements and development
AFA 14/7	Machrihanish Air Base	Strategic; redevelopment and inward investment opportunities; green technologies hub

Strategic Masterplan Areas

Ref No.	Location	Nature of Action
MAST 1/5	Inveraray	Mixed use/village expansion/housing/business/ medium scale retail/community facilities
Action:		
Additional Information:	Landowner to prepare Masterplan	
Timescale:	Short to longer term	
Key Partners:	Landowner/developers	
Notes:		

Strategic Masterplan Areas continued

Ref No.	Location	Nature of Action
MAST 1/6	Bowmore	Mixed use/village expansion/housing/business/ retail/community facilities
Action:		
Additional Information:	Landowner to prepare Masterplan	
Timescale:	Short to longer term	
Key Partners:	Landowner / developer	
Notes:	Phase one detailed planning consent submitted for 20 affordable homes and business units. For planning permission to be granted, development proposals must ensure that there would be no adverse effect on the integrity and qualifying interests of the Laggan Special Protection Area, either alone or in combination with other plans or projects, through recreational disturbance. To demonstrate this, a Recreation Management Plan should be prepared to accompany the planning application, for the approval of the planning authority in consultation with Scottish Natural Heritage.	

MAST 1/8	Lochgilphead/Argyll and Bute Hospital	Mixed use/business/housing/tourism/community facilities
Action:		
Additional Information:		
Timescale:	Short to longer term	
Key Partners:	NHS Highland	
Notes:	New hospital to be built in 2014	

MAST 1/9	Kilmory Strategic Business Site	Business/community
Action:		
Additional Information:		
Timescale:	Short to longer term	
Key Partners:	Landowner / developer	
Notes:	Feasibility underway	

MAST 1/10	Machrihanish Strategic Business Sites	Green energy hub/strategic business site
Action:		
Additional Information:	Within Campbeltown airport safeguarding zone	
Timescale:	Short to longer term	
Key Partners:	Landowner / developer	
Notes:		

Potential Development Areas

Schedule No. *PDA 8/1 – Scalasaig*

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed density development of low to medium density housing including provision for affordable housing.		
Notes:	Noted habitat and area for Corncrake. Corncrake survey and protection plan - as necessary to accompany planning application - LDP 3 and SG LDP ENV 1.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking, SG LDP ENV 1, LDP 10, SG LDP SERV 9, SG LDP 14, SG LDP 16(a), SG ENV 20		

Schedule No. PDA 9/8 – Furnace

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density housing, including affordable provision		
Notes:			
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking		

Schedule No. PDA 9/15 – Inveraray

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed use commercial/business/tourism		
Notes:	Buffer strip recommended (SEPA)		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP ENV 15; POLICY LDP5; POLICY LDP 7; SG LDP TOUR 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 10/1 – Bowmore: off Birch Drive

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed housing development medium to high density including provision for affordable housing.		
Notes:			
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 10/2 – Bowmore: Glebe Land

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixture of low and medium density housing development including provision for an element of affordable housing.		
Notes:	Developers need to check for European protected species		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 10/3 – Bowmore: Beech Avenue

Nature of Potential Development:	Predominantly high density housing development, including provision for affordable housing, development possibly linked to adjoining PDA 10/2.		
Notes:			
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 10/15 –Port Ellen: Imeraval Road

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density with requirement for affordable		
Notes:	Developers need to check for European protected species		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 10/16 – Port Ellen: Heather Park

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density, affordable required.		
Notes:	Adjoining Flooding Fluvial 200. Developers need to check for European protected species		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards, SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 10/23 – Bridgend: Islay House Home Farm

Nature of Potential Development:	Small scale business and industry development (Class 4, 5 and 6) related to development of existing farm buildings.		
Notes:	Developers need to check for European protected species.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP BUS 2; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 10/28 – Glenegedale 3

Nature of Potential Development:	Business and Industry Classes 4, 5 and 6.		
Notes:	Small area of ASNW Developers need to check for European protected species. This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; STRAT DC 5; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP5; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles ; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 10/29 – Gleneedale: North

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density housing, including affordable requirement.		
Notes:	Developers need to check for European protected species.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 10/30 – Gleneedale: Mid

Nature of Potential Development:	Medium density housing in association with PDA 10/29 (access), including affordable requirement		
Notes:			
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 10/31 – Glenevedale: South

Nature of Potential Development:	Housing –medium density, requirement for affordable housing may be linked to adjoining allocation.		
Notes:	Developers need to check for European protected species.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles and SG Access and Parking Standards		

Schedule No. PDA 10/33 – Ballygrant

Nature of Potential Development:	Low to medium density housing including provision for affordable housing.		
Notes:	Developers need to check for European protected species.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles ; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 10/34 – Bowmore: Shore Street

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed use – education/hostel accommodation/residential with affordable requirement		
Notes:	Developers need to check for European protected species. Buffer strip recommended (SEPA) Avoidance of any adverse effect on integrity of Bridgend Flats SPA		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP ENV 2; SG LDP ENV 4; SG LDP ENV 1; SG LDP REC/COM 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles ; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 11/3 – Craighouse: Ballard

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density housing development with affordable requirement.		
Notes:	Small area of ASNW on site - Policy on Control of Woodland Removal applies. Developers need to check for European protected species, including bats (bat survey required if any trees would be affected by development). This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards, SG LDP ENV 1, SG LDP ENV 6		

Schedule No. PDA 12/3 – Lochgilphead: County Yard

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed density development of low to medium density housing including provision for affordable housing.		
Notes:	This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP SERV 7; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles ; SG Access and Parking Standards, LDP 7, SG LDP RET 1, SG LDP RET 2.		

Schedule No. PDA 12/13 – Ardfern: Craigdhu

Nature of Potential Development:	Business and industry; specialist retailing (farm shop)		
Notes:			
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP 5; SG LDP RET 3; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles ; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 12/19 – Ardrishaig – South Ardrishaig

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density housing, including affordable		
Notes:	Developers need to check for European protected species		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 12/21 – Cairnbaan: Daill Farm

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density housing, including affordable		
Notes:	Within NSA - high standard of design quality required.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3, SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG LDP ENV 12		

Schedule No. PDA 12/23 – Cairnbaan: South of Lock 5

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed uses. Small scale expansion of boat storage facility. Recreation facility, community facility		
Notes:	<p>Scheduled Monuments within the Allocation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Carn Ban, cairn, Cairnbaan (Index No. 10333) Bronze Age burial cairn which is situated on the south bank of the Crinan Canal at Cairnbaan on top of a natural rock outcrop, and measures 8m in diameter and 2m in height The Crinan Canal, Cairnbaan - Ardrishaig (Index No. 6501) 		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP ENV 19		

Schedule No. PDA 12/31 – Craobh Haven

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density development of housing. 100% affordable housing.		
Notes:			
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles ; SG Access and Parking Standards		

Schedule No. PDA 12/32 – Kilmichael Glassary: North

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed (medium to high) density housing development, including affordable requirement.		
Notes:			
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles ; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 12/34 – Kilmichael Glassary: South

Nature of Potential Development:	Medium density housing including provision for affordable.		
Notes:	Not in SEPA zones but surface flooding noted on occasion		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP SERV 1,2,3 & 7, SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles ; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 12/37 – Minard: Inverae Road

Nature of Potential Development:	Recreation/Open space with potential for small scale enabling housing development (not exceeding 5 houses)		
Notes:			
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP ENV 13; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP REC/COM 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles ; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 12/38 – Crarae Garden

Nature of Potential Development:	Tourist facility development		
Notes:	This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site. Developers need to check for European protected species		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; STRAT DC 2; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP ENV 13; SG LDP ENV 15; SG LDP TOUR 1; SG LDP SERV 7, SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles ; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 12/39 – Crarae Point

Nature of Potential Development:	Tourism facility, water based recreation		
Notes:	SEPA coastal 200.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP ENV 13; SG LDP SERV 7, SG LDP TOUR 1; SG LDP REC/COM 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 12/42 – Tayvallich: Back Brae

Nature of Potential Development:	Medium density affordable housing 100%		
Notes:	Cumulative Drainage impact, NSA – High standard of design required. Avoidance of any adverse effect on integrity of Tayvallich Juniper and Coast SAC.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP ENV 13; SG LDP SERV 7, SG LDP TOUR 1; SG LDP REC/COM 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles ; SG Access and Parking Standards		

Schedule No. PDA 12/45 – Tayvallich: adjacent to Carvel

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density housing, including affordable requirement		
Notes:	SEPA Cumulative Drainage impact Developers need to check for European protected species		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP ENV 12; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP SERV 7, SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles ; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 12/46 – Tayvallich: Kintallen

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed low to medium density housing development (medium overall) including affordable housing.		
Notes:	SEPA Cumulative Drainage Impact		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP ENV 12; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP SERV 7, SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles ; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 12/47 – Tayvallich: Skurivaig

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density housing development, affordable requirement		
Notes:	SEPA Cumulative Drainage Impact ASNW – part site		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP ENV 12; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP SERV 7, SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles ; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 12/51 – Tayvallich: Carsaig

Nature of Potential Development:	Tourism development (existing caravan site/extension) with some small scale opportunities for ancillary development.		
Notes:	Whilst site is in single ownership it is understood that the access is not in the site owner’s ownership and this is noted as an additional access constraint. Avoidance of any adverse effect on integrity of Tayvallich Juniper and Coast SAC		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP ENV 2; SG LDP ENV 4; SG LDP ENV 12; SG LDP TOUR 1; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles ; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 12/53 – Achahoish: South

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed density housing including affordable.		
Notes:	Developers need to check for European protected species and birds (Woodland) This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles ; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 12/55 – Achahoish: West

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density housing with affordable requirement		
Notes:			
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles ; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 12/56 – Crinan: North

Nature of Potential Development:	Medium density housing including provision for affordable.		
Notes:	Part site ASNW - Policy on Control of Woodland Removal applies; species survey and if necessary mitigation plan; within NSA – high standard of design quality required. The requirements of the adjacent Established Industrial and Business Area need to be taken into account – bad neighbour in reverse.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles ; SG Access and Parking Standards, SG LD BAD 2 - Bad Neighbour Development in Reverse. SG LDP ENV 12		

Schedule No. PDA 12/57 – Crinan: South

Nature of Potential Development:	Medium density housing development (incorporating range from higher to lower density) including provision for affordable housing.		
Notes:	Part ASNW - Policy on Control of Woodland Removal applies; species survey and if necessary mitigation plan; within NSA – high standard of design quality required.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP ENV 12; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles ; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 12/62 – Inverneill: North

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density housing development, including affordable requirement		
Notes:	Developers need to check for European protected species		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 12/70 – Ford: North

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed uses, community facilities, recreation and open space, medium density housing with affordable housing provision.		
Notes:	<p>Scheduled Monuments within the Allocation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ford, cairn 60m E of Forestry Houses (Index No. 5442). The monument comprises a Bronze Age burial cairn which is situated in a pasture field 60m to the E of the Forestry Houses, measuring 8m in diameter and 0.7m in height 		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP REC/COM 1; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP ENV 19; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles ; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 12/72 – Ford: South

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density housing, affordable requirement		
Notes:	This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP 7, SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 12/73 – Torran, by Ford: Torran Farm

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed density development of low to medium density housing including provision for affordable housing.		
Notes:			
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP ENV 20; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles ; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 12/74 – Torran: Torran Lochside

Nature of Potential Development:	Community facilities/recreation.		
Notes:	This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; STRAT DC 2; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP REC/COM 1; Policy LDP 7, SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles ; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 12/75 - Achnaba

Nature of Potential Development:	Tourism accommodation/facilities, ancillary housing (low density, affordable requirement).		
Notes:	Developers need to check for European protected species		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP ENV 13; SG LDP TOUR 1; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles ; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 12/76 – Lunga: by Craobh

Nature of Potential Development:	Tourism accommodation/facilities, ancillary housing development.		
Notes:	ASNW Developers need to check for European protected species This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP ENV 13; SG LDP TOUR 1; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles ; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 12/77 – Brenfield, by Inverneill

Nature of Potential Development:	Tourism accommodation.		
Notes:	Developers need to check for European protected species		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP TOUR 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles ; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 12/78 – Inverneill: South

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density housing development, affordable requirement		
Notes:	Developers need to check for European protected species		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP REC/COM 1 SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles ; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 12/80 – Ardfern: Glebe Land

Nature of Potential Development:	Affordable housing and community facilities (open space)		
Notes:	High density, 100% affordable SEPA Cumulative Drainage Impact		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP 7, SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles ; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 12/81 – Craobh Haven

Nature of Potential Development:	Tourism accommodation – caravan/camp site.		
Notes:			
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP TOUR 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 12/83 – Kilmichael Glassary Woods

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density housing, affordable requirement		
Notes:			
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles ; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 13/2 – Tarbert: Lady Ileene Road

Nature of Potential Development:	Medium to low density housing development. Detached and semi-detached (single family) housing.		
Notes:			
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; SG LDP HOU 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP TRAN 4; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles ; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 13/3 – Tarbert: Barfad

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density housing in natural woodland setting; or alternatively high quality low density holiday accommodation. Opportunities for sustainable building design.		
Notes:	Developers need to check for European protected species		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP ENV 6; POLICY LDP 9; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP TOUR 1; SG LDP TRAN 4; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles ; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 13/4 – Tarbert: Glenfield

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density detached or semi-detached on upper (south western) section of the site with medium to high density affordable or social rented housing on the lower part of the site adjacent to existing housing. 25% affordable housing is expected.		
Notes:			
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP TRAN 4 POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP 9; SG LDP TRAN 4.		

Schedule No. PDA 14/1 – Campbeltown: Hillside Farm

Nature of Potential Development:	Medium to high density family housing including low cost starter and affordable housing and flats.		
Notes:	Site subject to surface water flooding. Drainage Impact Assessment and FRA required.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP 9; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP TRAN 4; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 14/2 – Campbeltown: Craiggowan Road

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density, high amenity housing (for single family dwellings).		
Notes:	Surface water management required Buffer strip recommended (SEPA)		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP 9; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP TRAN 4; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 14/3 – Campbeltown: Balegreggan

Nature of Potential Development:	Low/medium density housing (for single family dwellings).		
Notes:	Surface water management required Buffer strip recommended (SEPA)		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP 9; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP TRAN 4; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 14/4 – Campbeltown: Bellfield

Nature of Potential Development:	Medium/low density housing		
Notes:	Surface water management required Buffer strip recommended (SEPA)		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP 9; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP SERV 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 14/5 – Campbeltown: Bellfield

Nature of Potential Development:	Medium/low density housing		
Notes:	Surface water management required Buffer strip recommended (SEPA)		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP 9; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP SERV 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 14/6 – Campbeltown: Land to rear of Bellgrove and Auchinlee

Nature of Potential Development:	Medium/low density housing		
Notes:	Surface water management required Buffer strip recommended (SEPA)		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP 9; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP SERV 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 14/14 – Campbeltown: Gallowhill

Nature of Potential Development:	Low/medium density high amenity housing.		
Notes:	Surface water management required Buffer strip recommended (SEPA)		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP 9; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP TRAN 4; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 14/15 – Campbelltown: Witchburn Road

Nature of Potential Development:	Low/medium density high amenity housing.		
Notes:	Surface water management required Buffer strip recommended (SEPA)		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP 9; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP TRAN 4; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 14/27 - Machrihanish

Nature of Potential Development:	Medium density housing.		
Notes:	Surface water management required.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP 9; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP TRAN 4; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. *PDA 14/54 - Peninver*

Nature of Potential Development:	Low/medium density housing		
Notes:			
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP 9; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP TRAN 4; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. *PDA 3001 – Machrihanish: Campbeltown Airport*

Nature of Potential Development:	Proposed site for low density 'up market' houses.		
Notes:	Developers need to check for European protected species		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP 9; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP SERV 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 3002 – Tarbert: Land east of Barfad

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density housing		
Notes:	Developers need to check for European protected species		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP 9; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP SERV 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 3003 – Southend: Kilmashenigan

Nature of Potential Development:	Tourism – static caravan site.		
Notes:	<p>PDA designation to allow this existing unauthorised caravan site to be regularised. The boundaries of the PDA do not indicate automatic support for any expansion of the caravan site.</p> <p>This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site. Developer needs to check for European protected species for any future development proposals for this site.</p>		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP 9; SG LDP SERV 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 3004 – Bowmore North: Islay

Nature of Potential Development:	Medium density housing, affordable requirement.		
Notes:			
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 3005 – Bowmore Hospital Road: Islay

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density housing, affordable requirement.		
Notes:			
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 3006 – Craobh Haven

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed use – tourism/housing/business		
Notes:	This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site. APQ; access rights require consideration.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; SG LDP ENV 13, SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP TOUR 1, POLICY LDP 5, SG Access and Parking Standards, SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles, SG LDP TRAN 1.		

Schedule No. PDA 3007 - Salachry

Nature of Potential Development:	Crofting township small scale development		
Notes:	This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site. Developers need to check for European protected species		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; Policy LDP 3, SG LDP HOU 1; SG Access and Parking Standards, SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles, SG LDP 7.		

Schedule No. PDA 3008 – Lochgilphead: Argyll and Bute Hospital

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed use		
Notes:			
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; SG LDP HOU 1; POLICY LDP 5, SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles, POLICY LDP 3. POLICY LDP 7, SG LDP RET 1 and SG LDP RET 2.		

Schedule No. PDA 3009 – Baddens: Lochgilphead

Nature of Potential Development:	Hotel/outdoor recreation facilities.		
Notes:	This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site. SEPA fluvial 200		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles, POLICY LDP 3, SG LDP TOUR 1, SG LDP 7		

Schedule No. PDA 3010 – Home Farm: Lochgilphead

Nature of Potential Development:	Community use.		
Notes:			
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; SG Access and Parking Standards, POLICY LDP 3, Policy LDP 8.		

Schedule No. PDA 3011 – Inchjura: Carsaig

Nature of Potential Development:	Tourism		
Notes:	NSA Nature Conservation constraint : Proposals require to take into account the existing environmental education use of this area, either by enabling this to continue, or by providing satisfactory alternative compensatory facilities.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles, SG Access and Parking Standards, POLICY LDP 3, SG LDP TOUR 1, Policy LDP 10.		

Schedule No. PDA 3012 – Craobh Haven

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed use		
Notes:	APQ; ASNW; Developers need to check for European protected species This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; SG LDP ENV 13, SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP TOUR 1, POLICY LDP 5, SG Access and Parking Standards, SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles.		

Schedule No. PDA 3013 – Clock Lodge: Lochgilphead

Nature of Potential Development:	Community facilities, tourism/recreation, enabling development		
Notes:			
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; SG LDP TOUR 1, SG Access and Parking Standards, SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles, SG LDP ENV 16(a), Policy LDP 3.		

Areas For Action

Schedule No. AFA 9/1 – Inveraray Town Centre and Waterfront

Status:	Strategic <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local <input type="checkbox"/>
----------------	---	--------------------------------

Nature of Action:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To pursue an area for action supporting: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Investment and initiatives in Inveraray which is highlighted by the Local Development Plan as a conservation area and a tourist development area. • The role of Inveraray as an important destination on the Loch Fyne. 2. To pursue essential repairs and maintenance of the historic environment and focus on the Inveraray enhancement opportunities in the town centre, conservation area, ‘avenue’, public realm and waterfront areas (including the pier), community hall and on the component listed buildings, spaces, ground surfaces and enclosures. 3. To consider opportunities for town centre, access and parking improvements arising from development/redevelopment opportunities and from development road action on land adjacent to this area for action. <p>Note : Conservation Area Regeneration Scheme (CARS) funding has been obtained to secure the delivery of a 4/5 year CARS project in Inveraray. The funding was approved by Historic Scotland in recognition of the importance of securing investment in the built heritage of the town to deliver economic regeneration and in particular in securing Inveraray as an attractive and vibrant “place” for those living, working and visiting the town. A steering group and an officer working group, a full time officer will also be appointed to deliver the CARS project. Consultants will be appointed by owners to develop the detailed scope for each project/priority building, either under a framework agreement or via individual appointments, following tendering.</p>
--------------------------	---

Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Resourcing Implications:		Capital	Revenue
	Council resources	Capital sum agreed	CARS Officer to be appointed
	Partner resources	Historic Scotland; RSL's	To be determined

Schedule No. AFA 10/4 – Port Ellen: Village Centre and Waterfront

Status:	Strategic <input type="checkbox"/>	Local <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
----------------	------------------------------------	---

Nature of Action:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To pursue an area for action in Port Ellen which will support: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Local Development Plan policy LDP 5, which seeks to promote greater economic and environmental synergy in the fragile economic areas. • Action programmes for Port Ellen to uplift and stimulate investment in this Key Rural Settlement and its environs including provision for mixed use development and investment in its continuing function as a port and ferry terminal. • The promotion of Port Ellen as an important tourist destination with the 'Tourism Development Area' in tune with SG LDP TOUR 3. • Consideration of options to maintain and further develop ferry services between the mainland and Islay (Key Actions identified in 7.7 of the LDP). 2. To continue environmental enhancement schemes and projects with particular reference to building facades. 3. To consider options for development/redevelopment at prime sites within the settlement, including future commercial and recreational use where appropriate.
--------------------------	--

Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced	<input type="checkbox"/>

Resourcing Implications:		Capital	Revenue
	Council resources	To be determined	To be determined
	Partner resources	To be determined	To be determined

Schedule No. AFA 12/1 – Lochgilphead Town Centre/Waterfront

Status:	Strategic <input type="checkbox"/>	Local <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
----------------	------------------------------------	---

Nature of Action:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To pursue an area for action for the town centre and waterfront of Lochgilphead in accord with the promotion of 'action programme' as identified in the Local Development Plan Schedules Chapter 8.. 2. To consider development/redevelopment options. 3. To consider backland opportunities and constraints. 4. To resolve flooding issues (wind and tidal incursion). 5. To consider Loch Gilp – development options. 6. To consider traffic management, parking and access options. 7. To consider part-pedestrianisation options (e.g. Colchester Square – Lorne Street). 8. To consider potential for waterfront and townscape enhancement schemes (including shop frontage/building façade improvements). 9. To seek supporting investment and pursue initiatives to focus on essential repair and maintenance of the historic environment and on the enhancement opportunities in the town centre, conservation area and waterfront areas and on the component listed buildings, spaces, ground surfaces, back land areas and enclosures. 10. To further consider and support options for safeguarding and bringing into sustainable re-use the Category B Listed Clock Lodge
--------------------------	--

Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>

Resourcing Implications:	Capital		Revenue	
	Council resources	To be determined	To be determined	
	Partner resources	To be determined	To be determined	

Schedule No. AFA 12/5 – Ardrishaig: South Village Centre and Crinan Canal

Status:	Strategic <input type="checkbox"/>	Local <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
----------------	------------------------------------	---

Nature of Action:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To pursue an area for action which will support: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Local Development Plan Schedules Chapter 8 requirement for the promotion of an action programme including the village harbour areas at Ardrishaig. • A Study into the Loch Fyne Waterway in accord with Structure Plan Schedule 1 (part 5). • The promotion of Ardrishaig and the Crinan Canal as important tourist attraction within the tourism development area as identified in the Structure Plan Schedule 1 (4) and Tourism Infrastructure Diagram. 2. To consider development and redevelopment options for village centre, harbour frontage and Crinan Canal areas. 3. To consider opportunities for environmental enhancement. 4. To take account of tourist, yachting, commercial and British Waterways interests including timber transshipment requirements. 5. Developers need to check for European protected species
--------------------------	--

Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>

Resourcing Implications:	Capital		Revenue	
	Council resources	To be determined	To be determined	
	Partner resources	To be determined	To be determined	

Schedule No. AFA 12/10 – Ardfern: Central

Status:	Strategic <input type="checkbox"/>	Local <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
----------------	------------------------------------	---

Nature of Action:	<p>1. To resolve options with the community for development within this extensive village backlands area having regard to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The need to make proportionate improvements to the substandard access regime. The need for effective servicing of development. The need to conform with co-ordinated and comprehensive planning of component areas within the wider ‘potential development areas’ as identified in the settlement plan. <p>Work on the above has been progressed through the Craignish Community Plan, which requires to be taken into consideration. To deliver 1. above therefore a full development brief is required to be prepared, in consultation with the community, to clarify the future potential of this area.</p> <p>The brief is to be wide ranging, consider different types of development (i.e. not restricted to housing) with an emphasis on community benefit.</p> <p>Density and style of any development. The development brief should consider:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. Access issues ii. Low-cost self-build housing iii. Community energy projects iv. Small scale workshops v. Open Space vi. Allotments / shared land vii. Sports Hall viii. Sports Pitches ix. Waste water treatment x. Camping / bunkhouse business / small-scale rural tourism
--------------------------	---

Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>

Resourcing Implications:	Capital		Revenue	
	Council resources	To be determined	To be determined	
	Partner resources	To be determined	To be determined	

Schedule No. AFA 12/12 – Lochgilhead: Kilmory Home Farm

Status:	Strategic <input type="checkbox"/>	Local <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Nature of Action:	1. To explore the redevelopment opportunities for this group of traditional farm buildings. 2. To explore the potential to use the farm buildings and associated farm land to provide new crofts and live/work units.			
Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>	
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>	
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>	
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>	
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>	
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>	
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>	
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>	
Resourcing Implications:			Capital	Revenue
	Council resources	To be determined		To be determined
		Partner resources	To be determined	

Schedule No. AFA 13/1 – Tarbert Harbour and Conservation Area

Status:	Strategic <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local <input type="checkbox"/>	
Nature of Action:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To support the promotion of action programmes at Tarbert waterfront. 2. To consider the interests of harbour users including the harbour authority, commercial fishing, lifeboat, yachting, boating, water sports, tourists, overlooking property and East Loch harbour interests. 3. To consider navigational issues. 4. To consider development and redevelopment options on the harbour edge and the adjacent harbour facing properties. 5. To consider harbour part-infill options. 6. To resolve harbour drainage and water quality issues. 7. To consider traffic management and road access opportunities and constraints. 8. To consider conservation area enhancement schemes. 		
Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
Resourcing Implications:	Capital Revenue		
	Council resources	To be determined	To be determined
	Partner resources	To be determined	To be determined

Schedule No. AFA 13/2 – Tarbert: South Campbelltown Road/Back Street

Status:	Strategic <input type="checkbox"/>	Local <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Nature of Action:	1. To consider redevelopment/development options having regard to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Relocation opportunities for existing uses. • Linkages with adjacent land uses. • Land use compatibility issues. • Access constraints. • Opportunities for local environmental improvements. 		
Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
Resourcing Implications:			
		Capital	Revenue
	Council resources	To be determined	To be determined
	Partner resources	To be determined	To be determined

Schedule No. AFA 13/3 – Clachan Village

Status:	Strategic <input type="checkbox"/>	Local <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Nature of Action:	<p>1. To consider options for environment enhancement including building retention and upgrades and the possibility of conservation area status.</p> <p>2. To consider options for traffic arrangements to address the issue of dangerous road corners from the south side of the village across the main road to the village centre and shop.</p>		
Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
Resourcing Implications:			
		Capital	Revenue
	Council resources	To be determined	To be determined
	Partner resources	To be determined	To be determined

Schedule No. AFA 14/1 – Campbeltown Harbour

Status:	Strategic <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local <input type="checkbox"/>	
Nature of Action:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To make effective use of past and future investment in Campbeltown Harbour as part of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The regeneration of fragile communities in the Kintyre Peninsula. • To maintain the capacity of the Campbeltown harbour to service the needs of the wind turbine manufacturing investment at Machrihanish. • The potential to re-establish ferry links with Ireland and with a future trans-Clyde rapid transit operation. (Link to Ayrshire re-established in the summer –aspiration for Ireland) 2. Promotion of ‘action programmes’ for Campbeltown town centre, conservation area and waterfront 3. To consider inner harbour/marina potential and options with the Campbeltown harbour area. 4. To consider the effective use of Hall Street (or single carriageway) as part of the overall future use of the harbour (including possible future ferry/marina activity). 5. To consider coastguard, lifeboat, commercial fishing and other commercial operator interests in the harbour. 6. Developers need to check for European protected species. 		
Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/> Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/> Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/> Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/> Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/> Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/> Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/> Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/> Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Resourcing Implications:			
		Capital	Revenue
	Council resources	CHORD	Harbour Dues
Partner resources	ERDF	N/A	

Schedule No. AFA 14/3 – Campbelltown: Quarry Green

Status:	Strategic <input type="checkbox"/>	Local <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Nature of Action:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To make more effective use of this extensive green waterfront asset. 2. To undertake appropriate environmental enhancements. 3. Developers need to check for European protected species. 			
Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Resourcing Implications:			Capital	Revenue
	Council resources	CHORD	To be determined	
		Partner resources	To be determined	To be determined

Schedule No. AFA 14/4 – Campbeltown: Town Centre (Longrow/Kinloch Road)

Status:	Strategic <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local <input type="checkbox"/>	
Nature of Action:	<p>1. To make effective use of this central area of Campbeltown as part of: The regeneration of fragile communities in the Kintyre Peninsula and: To promote a relocation strategy to address the poor arrangement of land use and compatibility issues within this area (potential to relocate business and industry to alternative plan supported locations).</p> <p>2. To consider appropriate redevelopment opportunities.</p> <p>3. To undertake land assembly and asset management in association with the above.</p> <p>4. To consider traffic management and parking arrangement options.</p> <p>5. To consider townscape enhancement opportunities (particularly on the Kinloch Road and Longrow frontages).</p> <p>6. To consider health and safety zone issues.</p>		
Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Resourcing Implications:	Capital		Revenue
	Council resources	CHORD	To be determined
	Partner resources	THI;CARS; LEADER/ERDF/Lottery	To be determined

Schedule No. AFA 14/5 – Campbeltown: Roding/Glebe Street

Status:	Strategic <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local <input type="checkbox"/>
----------------	---	--------------------------------

Nature of Action:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To make effective use of this edge of town centre area of Campbeltown as part of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The requirements of fragile communities in the Kintyre Peninsula. 2. Promotion of 'action programme' for Campbeltown town centre and waterfront 3. To encourage effective inward and indigenous investment to regenerate this under-performing but important edge of town centre area taking account of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Options and implications for retaining or relocating the liquid petroleum gas development site. • Other redevelopment opportunities and constraints. • Townscape enhancement opportunities.
--------------------------	---

Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Resourcing Implications:	Capital		Revenue
	Council resources	CHORD	To be determined
	Partner resources	SNH / Scottish Government	To be determined

Schedule No. AFA 14/6 – Carradale Harbour

Status: Strategic Local

Nature of Action:

1. To pursue an area for action which will support Structure Plan Schedule 4 (part 7) which identifies Carradale and its harbour as an area for development and employment opportunities.
2. To consider the potential of the harbour and adjacent waters for increased tourist-related boating/yachting use.
3. To reconcile tourist and commercial fishing interests.
4. To consider harbour/waterfront enhancement opportunities.
5. Developers need to check for European protected species.

Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>

Resourcing Implications:	Capital		Revenue	
	Council resources	To be determined	To be determined	
	Partner resources	To be determined	To be determined	

Schedule No. AFA 14/7 – Machrihanish Air Base

Status:	Strategic <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local <input type="checkbox"/>	
Nature of Action:	<p>To take account of the potential for re-development of the former RAF airbase including inward investment opportunities and the expansion of the existing wind turbine tower manufacturing facility. A development brief has been prepared and agreed by the Council which outlines the constraints of the site and the planning issues that should be addressed.</p> <p>Air Base identified as a strategic business site in LDP; Base purchased via a community buy out by MACC;</p>		
Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Development brief	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Resourcing Implications:		Capital	Revenue
	Council resources	To be determined	To be determined
	Partner resources	To be determined	To be determined

Schedule No. AFA 3001 – Ballygrant Old Quarry: Islay

Status: Strategic Local

Nature of Action:

Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>

Resourcing Implications:		Capital	Revenue
	Council resources	To be determined	To be determined
	Partner resources	To be determined	To be determined

Allocation Schedules

Business and Industry Allocations

Ref No.	Location	Use Classes	Site Size
BI-AL 5/1	Oban – Glengallon Road	4	2.78
Action:			
Additional Information:			
Timescale:	Short to medium term		
Key Partners:			
Notes:	Check for EPS. This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site.		
BI-AL 5/2	Oban – Glengallon Road	4	1.32
Action:			
Additional Information:			
Timescale:	Short to medium term		
Key Partners:			
Notes:	Check for Otters; Buffer strip recommended (SEPA)		
BI-AL 5/3	Oban – Glengallon Road	4, 5, 6	0.5
Action:			
Additional Information:			
Timescale:	Short to medium term		
Key Partners:			
Notes:	Check for EPS; Buffer strip recommended (SEPA)		
BI-AL 5/4	Oban – Glengallon Road	4, 5, 6	0.33
Action:			
Additional Information:			
Timescale:	Short to medium term		
Key Partners:			
Notes:	Check for EPS; Buffer strip recommended (SEPA)		

Business and Industry Allocations continued

Ref No.	Location	Use Classes	Site Size
BI-AL 5/5	Oban – Glengallon Road	4, 5, 6	1.63
Action:			
Additional Information:			
Timescale:	Short to medium term		
Key Partners:			
Notes:	Check for Otters; Buffer strip recommended (SEPA)		
BI-AL 5/7	Oban Auction Mart	5, 6	3.7
Action:			
Additional Information:			
Timescale:	Short to medium term		
Key Partners:			
Notes:	Check for Otters.		
BI-AL 5/9	Dunbeg - Dunstaffnage	4	1.76
Action:			
Additional Information:	Linked to Council TIF bid		
Timescale:	Ongoing first phase completed		
Key Partners:	HIE		
Notes:	<p>Sewerage and water issue; Access issue; kirk road requires upgrade This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site. Check for EPS for future development phases. Scheduled Monuments in the Vicinity of the Allocation: Dunstaffnage Castle (Index No. 90120 & HB Num 11987) well preserved castle with a long history as an outpost of Royal authority in Argyll. It occupies the summit of a prominent rocky outcrop and commands the seaward approach to Loch Etive and the Pass of Brander, as well as exercising surveillance over the Firth of Lorn and the eastern entrance to the Sound of Mull. Dunstaffnage Castle Chapel (Index No. 90121 & HB Num 11988) is situated c. 150m WSW of Dunstaffnage Castle on a small knoll and is overlooked from the south by Chapel Hill. The allocation area is south west of these two important 13th century monuments, both of which are also category A listed buildings and Properties in the Care of Scottish Ministers</p>		
BI-AL 6/2	Lochdon - Torosay	4, 5, 6	4.4
Action:			
Additional Information:			
Timescale:	Short to medium term		
Key Partners:			
Notes:	Sewage and water issue;		
B4001	Oban South	Proposed industry and business development	9.2
Action:			

Additional Information:	Linked to Council TIF bid
Timescale:	Short to medium term
Key Partners:	Landowners and developers
Notes:	Check for EPS.

Business and Industry Allocations continued

Ref No.	Location	Use Classes	Site Size
B4002	Oban South	Proposed industry and business development	5.8
Action:			
Additional Information:			
Timescale:	Short to longer term		
Key Partners:	Part owned by HIE		
Notes:	Check for Otters; Buffer strip recommended (SEPA).		
B4003	Oban South	Proposed industry and business development	2.2
Action:			
Additional Information:			
Timescale:	Short to longer term		
Key Partners:			
Notes:	Check for Otters; Buffer strip recommended (SEPA).		
B4004	Tobermory	Proposed site for business and industry	5.2
Action:			
Additional Information:			
Timescale:	Short to medium term		
Key Partners:	HIE		
Notes:	Sewage and water issue;		

Community Facilities and Recreation Allocations

Ref No.	Location	Use	Site Size
CFR-AL 5/1	Benderloch	Community facilities	0.32
Action:			
Additional Information:			
Timescale:	Short to medium term		
Key Partners:			
Notes:	Sewage and water issue; Check for EPS.		

Community Facilities and Recreation Allocations continued

Ref No.	Location	Use	Site Size
CFR-AL 5/2	Dunbeg School	School extension	1.18
Action:			
Additional Information:			
Timescale:	Medium term		
Key Partners:	A and B Council		
Notes:	Check for EPS; Sewerage and water issue; Buffer strip recommended (SEPA). This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site.		
CFR-AL 5/4	Dalmally	Sports pitch	1.30
Action:			
Additional Information:			
Timescale:	Short to medium term		
Key Partners:			
Notes:	Check for EPS;		
CFR-AL 5/5	Oban Hospital	Health care facilities	1.00
Action:			
Additional Information:			
Timescale:	Short to medium term		
Key Partners:			
Notes:	Check for EPS;		
CFR-AL 5/6	Barcaldine	Community hall	0.20
Action:			
Additional Information:			
Timescale:	Short to medium term		
Key Partners:			
Notes:	Sewage and water issue;		
CFR-AL 5/7	Appin/Tynribbie	Community	0.99
Action:			
Additional Information:			
Timescale:	Short to medium term		
Key Partners:			
Notes:	Check for EPS - bats; Sewage and water issue; Requirement for a loss of woodland and species survey mitigation plan Woodland on site – Policy on Control of Woodland Removal applies; a species survey and if necessary mitigation plan should be submitted with planning application.		

Housing Allocations

Ref No.	Location	Use	No. of Units	Affordability Minimum %
H-AL 5/3	Oban – Glenshellach Road 1	Housing	90	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:	09/01166/PP superseded by 10/00732/PP - Granted 23/08/2010 24 flats, 20 houses = 44 units 12/02306/PP – 6 units (07/01/2013) Built			
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:				
Notes:	Check for EPS;			
H-AL 5/4	Oban – Glenshellach Road 2	Housing	11	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:	Former Adopted Plan site area – 10 built plus 2 under construction (programmed 13/14) 4 flats 7 houses 11/02514/PPP granted 04/05/2012 Site boundary adjusted in PLDP to remove developed area and undeveloped area to the east.			
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:				
Notes:	Check for EPS;			
H-AL 5/6	Oban – Glengallon 3	Housing	30	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:				
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:				
Notes:	Check for EPS;			
H-AL 5/8	Dunbeg – Dunstaffnage	Housing	50	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:	11/02248/PP - 14 houses 36 flats – 50 units (granted 22/08/12)			
Timescale:	Under construction			
Key Partners:	RSL			
Notes:	Check for EPS; Sewage and water issue; Road access issues;			
H-AL 5/12	Benderloch - North	Housing	50	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:	In SHIP for 10 units			
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:	RSL's			
Notes:	Check for EPS – bats mature trees; Sewage and water issue;			

Housing Allocations continued

Ref No.	Location	Use	No. of Units	Affordability Minimum %
H-AL 5/13	Ledaig	Housing	11	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:	Adopted Plan 14 units - 2 U/C (remain and programmed) 2 completed. PLDP 11 units on remaining area. 09/00418/OUT – granted 22/05/2009 – 1 unit 09/00423/OUT – granted 22/05/2009 – 1 unit (replaced by 12/00384/PP)			
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:				
Notes:	Check for EPS; Sewage and water issue;			
H-AL 5/15	North Connel – Lora View	Housing	30	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:	Site close to Watercourse that could cause flooding on adjacent Mixed Use Allocation FRA will be required to ensure any development proposals exacerbate the potential for flooding off-site.			
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:				
Notes:	Check for EPS -Otters; Avoidance of any negative impact on GCR Site			
H-AL 5/16	North Connel – Achnacree	Housing	8	0
Action:				
Additional Information:	07/00640/DET – issued 04/09/2008 8 units			
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:				
Notes:	Check for EPS; Sewage and water issue.			
H-AL 5/19	Barcaldine	Housing	27	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:				
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:				
Notes:	Check for EPS; Sewage and water issue;			
H-AL 5/20	Kilchrenan	Housing	10	50%
Action:				
Additional Information:	11/00551/PPP – 3 units issued 10/06/2011 08/00006/OUT – 6 units issued 11/04/2008			
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:				
Notes:	Check for EPS; Sewage and water issue; This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site.			

Housing Allocations continued

Ref No.	Location	Use	No. of Units	Affordability Minimum %
H-AL 5/21	Kilmelford	Housing	30	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:	06/02223/DET - 32 detached and 10 terraced dwelling house. Granted 12/11/2007 15 built – removed from site in PLDP			
Timescale:	First phase of affordable housing completed; Planning consent granted for remaining development			
Key Partners:	Landowner /developer			
Notes:	Check for EPS - Otters; This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site.			
H-AL 5/22	Port Appin	Housing	30	50%
Action:				
Additional Information:	07/02396/OUT – 21 units - 11/04/2008 - lapsed Number of units on site increased in PLDP to improve economic viability and provide more smaller units.			
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:				
Notes:	Sewage and water issue; Check for EPS – bats (mature trees along edge)			
H-AL 6/1	Tobermory – Baliscate	Housing	30	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:	10/00319/PPP – issued 03/06/2010 17 houses 8 flats. Site numbers increased in PLDP			
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:				
Notes:	Sewage and water issue;			
H-AL 6/4	Dervaig – Church Field	Housing	15	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:				
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:				
Notes:	Sewage and water issue; Check for EPS – bats			

Housing Allocations continued

Ref No.	Location	Use	No. of Units	Affordability Minimum %
H-AL 6/5	Lochdon – North of Rock	Housing	25	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:	07/01076/DET – 14 units issued 18/04/2008 Site capacity increased in PLDP			
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:				
Notes:	Sewage and water issue; Check for EPS – bats and otter			
H-AL 6/6	Baile Mor – Iona	Housing	4	100%
Action:				
Additional Information:				
Timescale:				
Key Partners:				
Notes:	Sewage and water issue;			
H4001	Pennyghael	Housing	15	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:	07/02093/OUT - granted - 04/04/2008 14 units – lapsed. Slight increase in units on site in PLDP to improve economic viability Part PDA 6/45 in Adopted LP			
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:				
Notes:	Sewage and water issue; Check for EPS – bats and otter			
H4002	Tobermory – Dervaig Road 1	Housing	21	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:				
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:				
Notes:	Sewage and water issue;			
H4003	Salen	Housing	30	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:	07/01319/DET – 19 units granted 18/03/2008 Increased capacity in PLDP to improve economic viability and assist with affordable housing delivery. Upgraded from PDA 6/48 in PLDP			
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:				
Notes:	Sewage and water issue;			

Housing Allocations continued

Ref No.	Location	Use	No. of Units	Affordability Minimum %
H4004	Bunessan	Housing	18	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:	Upgraded from PDA 6/10 to allocation 07/01098/DET granted 01/08/2007 for 11 units follow up to outline 05/00133/OUT (total was 20 units). Note Landslip on site. Further application for remainder of site 05/00120/OUT granted 21/12/2005			
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:				
Notes:	Sewage and water issue;			
H4005	Stronmilchan - Old Military	Housing	12	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:	10/00103/PPP issued 25/05/2010 for 7 units Former PDA 5/56 in Adopted LP			
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:				
Notes:	Sewage and water issue;			
H4006	Dunbeg - Pennyfuir	Housing	136	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:				
Timescale:	Short to longer term			
Key Partners:	Landowner/developer			
Notes:	Check for EPS –Otters/Marsh Fritillary habitat;			
H4007	Dunbeg 1	Housing	160	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:				
Timescale:	Short to longer term			
Key Partners:	Landowner/developer			
Notes:	Check for EPS –Otters/Marsh Fritillary habitat;			
H4009	Oban – Ganavan	Housing	40	25%
Action:	Pedestrian walkway improvements required			
Additional Information:	Full planning consent granted for 40 affordable houses			
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:	Landowner / developer			
Notes:	Check for EPS; Access issue requires to be resolved			

Housing Allocations continued

Ref No.	Location	Use	No. of Units	Affordability Minimum %
H4010	Kilmore - Church	Housing	5	0%
Action:				
Additional Information:	Amended boundary in PLDP 11/00188/PPP issued 08/04/2011 single house 12/01864/PP issued 31/10/2012 5 houses			
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:				
Notes:	Check for EPS –Otters/Marsh Fritillary habitat;			
H4011	Kilchrenan	Housing	16	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:	This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site.			
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:				
Notes:	Check for EPS –Otters/Bats; Sewage and water issue;			
H4012	Bridge of Awe	Housing	15	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:	Formerly PDA 5/107 06/00617/OUT granted 14/11/06 for 15 units - follow up details 07/01633/DET granted 23/06/08 for 4 units 10/01056/PP granted 15/08/11 for 1 unit 12/02204/PP granted 12/12/2012 for 3 units			
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:				
Notes:	Check for EPS; Sewage and water issue;			
H4013	Scarinish – Pier Road	Housing	40	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:				
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:				
Notes:	Sewage and water issue;			
H4014	Tobermory	Housing	140	25%
Action:				
Additional Information:				
Timescale:	Short to medium term			
Key Partners:				
Notes:	Sewage and water issue;			

Housing Allocations continued

Ref No.	Location	Use	No. of Units	Affordability Minimum %
H4015	Dunbeg 2	Housing	349	25%
Action:	Masterplan being taken forward by RSL			
Additional Information:				
Timescale:	Short to longer term			
Key Partners:	RSL			
Notes:	Check for EPS –Otters/Marsh Fritillary habitat;			
TOTAL			1765	

Mineral Allocations

Ref No.	Location	Use	Life Expectancy
MIN-AL 5/1	Benderloch – Culcharron	Sand and gravel	15+ years
Action:			
Additional Information:			
Timescale:	Short to medium term		
Key Partners:			
Notes:	Badger habitat - badger survey and protection plan if necessary should be submitted with any planning application.		
MIN-AL 5/2	Taynuilt – Barrachander	Hard rock	15+ years
Action:			
Additional Information:			
Timescale:	Short to medium term		
Key Partners:			
Notes:	ASNW – Policy on Control of Woodland Removal applies; species survey and mitigation plan should be submitted with any planning application		
MIN-AL 5/3	Bonawe	Hard rock	15+ years
Action:			
Additional Information:			
Timescale:	Short to medium term		
Key Partners:			
Notes:	Part within SSSI; ASNW on part of site; adjacent to SAC – any planning application should be accompanied by sufficient information to demonstrate no adverse effect on these interests, including a species survey and if necessary mitigation plan.		

Mineral Allocations continued

Ref No.	Location	Use	Life Expectancy
MIN-AL 5/4	Oban – Upper Soroba	Hard rock	15+ years
Action:			
Additional Information:			
Timescale:	Short to longer term		
Key Partners:			
Notes:	Check for EPS.		
MIN-AL 6/1	Pennygowan	Hard rock	15+ years
Action:			
Additional Information:	Scheduled Monuments in the Vicinity of the Allocation: Pennygowan, chapel, cross-shaft and graveyard (Index No. 274) This monument is located approximately 100m west of the allocation area.		
Timescale:	Short to longer term		
Key Partners:			
Notes:	Check for EPS –Otters.		
MIN-AL 6/2	Lochdon – Torosay Sand Pit	Sand and gravel	5-10 years
Action:			
Additional Information:			
Timescale:	Short to medium term		
Key Partners:			
Notes:	Check for EPS –Otters.		
MIN-AL 6/3	Fionnphort – Tormore Quarry	Specialist hard rock	15+ years
Action:			
Additional Information:			
Timescale:	Short to longer term		
Key Partners:			
Notes:	Check for EPS –Otters.		

Mixed Use Allocations

Ref No.	Location	PDA Use	Site
MU-AL 5/1	Dalmally	Community facilities/housing	2.62
Action:			
Additional Information:			
Timescale:	Short to medium term		
Key Partners:			
Notes:	Check for EPS.		
MU-AL 5/4	North Connel – Airfield	Business and industry/tourism	2.69
Action:			
Additional Information:			
Timescale:	Short to medium term		
Key Partners:			
Notes:	Check for EPS –Otters; Sewage and water issue; This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site. Avoidance of any negative impact on GCR Site.		
MU-AL 6/1	Tobermory	Tourist accommodation/housing	0.51
Action:			
Additional Information:			
Timescale:	Short to medium term		
Key Partners:			
Notes:	Sewage and water issue;		
MU4001	Connel - Saulmore Farm	Golf Course/Housing	191.4
Action:			
Additional Information:	Full planning consent granted		
Timescale:	Short to medium term		
Key Partners:	Landowner / developer		
Notes:	Check for EPS; Sewage and water issue; This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site.		
MU4002	Dunbeg	Business and industry (Class 4) and tourism	9.2
Action:			
Additional Information:			
Timescale:	Short to longer term		
Key Partners:			
Notes:	Check for EPS –Otters/Marsh Fritillary habitat; Sewage and water issue; This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site.		

Potential Development Areas

Ref No.	Location	PDA Use	Density	Affordability %
PDA 4/3	Arinagour Farm Road – Arinagour	Housing	Mixed	25%
PDA 5/3	Oban - Longsdale	Housing	Mixed	25%
PDA 5/4	Oban - Glencruitten	Housing	Mixed	25%
PDA 5/5	Oban - Glencruitten	Mixed	N/A	25%
PDA 5/6	Oban - Longsdale Road	Housing	Mixed	25%
PDA 5/7	Oban - Glencruitten	Housing	Mixed	25%
PDA 5/13	Oban - Glengallan Road	Business and Industry	N/A	N/A
PDA 5/26	Oban – Ganavan	Mixed	Mixed	25%
PDA 5/30	Benderloch - Keil Farm	Housing	High/Medium	25%
PDA 5/39	Ledaig	Housing	Low	25%
PDA 5/54	Connel - Camas Bruaich, Ruaidhe	Marina/Leisure/Tourism	N/A	N/A
PDA 5/60	Dalmally	Housing	Mixed	25%
PDA 5/71	North Connel - Airfield	Business and Industry	N/A	N/A
PDA 5/72	North Connel - Airfield	Tourism/Leisure/Housing	N/A	25%
PDA 5/74	North Connel - Black Crofts	Housing	Low	25%
PDA 5/75	North Connel	Housing	Low	25%
PDA 5/94	Lismore - Achnacroish	Housing	Low	25%

Potential Development Areas continued

Ref No.	Location	PDA Use	Density	Affordability %
PDA 5/100	Barcaldine - Marine Resource Centre	Business and Industry	N/A	N/A
PDA 5/105	Barcaldine	Housing	Low	25%
PDA 5/109	Bridge of Orchy	Mixed	N/A	N/A
PDA 5/115	Dalavich	Tourism/Leisure	N/A	N/A
PDA 5/120	Eredine	Housing	Low	25%
PDA 5/131	Kilmore - Village Hall	Housing	Low	25%
PDA 5/133	Kilmore – Barrans	Housing	Low	25%
PDA 5/137	Kilninver	Housing	Low	25%
PDA 5/138	Kilninver	Housing	Low	25%
PDA 5/142	Melfort	Tourism/Leisure	N/A	N/A
PDA 5/150	Portnacraoish	Housing	Low	25%
PDA 5/151	Portnacraoish	Housing	Low	25%
PDA 5/152	Portnacraoish	Housing	Low	25%
PDA 5/155	Lismore - Northern Ferry Point	Leisure/Tourism	N/A	N/A
PDA 5/163	Bridge of Orchy	Housing	Mixed	25%
PDA 5/164	Cullipool - Quarry	Leisure/Tourism	N/A	N/A

PDA 5/165	Port Appin	Housing	Mixed	25%
PDA 5/167	Lismore - Achnacroish	Housing	Low	100%
PDA 5/168	Cladich	Housing	Mixed	25%
PDA 5/171	Oban – Moleigh	Business and Industry	N/A	N/A
PDA 5/172	Dunbeg - Tom Liath	Tourism/Leisure	N/A	N/A
PDA 6/4	Tobermory – St Marys	Housing	Low	25%
PDA 6/5	Tobermory – South Riverside	Housing	Low	25%
PDA 6/6	Tobermory – Baliscate North	Housing	Mixed	25%
PDA 6/11	Craignure – Java	Community Facilities	N/A	N/A
PDA 6/13	Craignure – East	Housing	Medium	25%
PDA 6/18	Salen Bay	Recreation/Mixed Uses	N/A	N/A
PDA 6/19	Salen – Glenmore	Housing	Low	25%
PDA 6/20	Salen – Central	Housing	Medium	25%
PDA 6/23	Salen Pier	Tourism/Commercial	N/A	N/A
PDA 6/27	Iona - Baile Mor	Mixed Use/Housing	High	25%
PDA 6/39	Fionnphort	Housing	Mixed	25%
PDA 6/41	Lochdon – Lochdon North	Housing	Mixed	25%
PDA 6/42	Lochdon – Lochdon South	Housing	Medium	25%
PDA 6/46	Dervaig – North	Farm Diversification	N/A	N/A
PDA 6/49	West Ardhru	Housing	Mixed	50%
PDA 6/50	Craignure – West	Tourism	N/A	N/A
PDA 6/52	Tobermory – Dervaig Road 2	Mixed Housing, Business and Industry	Mixed	50%
PDA 4001	Dalmally	Housing	Mixed	25%
PDA 4002	Appin Holiday Park - Appin	Tourism	N/A	N/A

Potential Development Areas continued

Ref No.	Location	PDA Use	Density	Affordability %
PDA 4003	Sealife Centre - Barcaldine	Tourism	N/A	N/A
PDA 4004	Taynuilt	Housing	Mixed	25%
PDA 4005	McKelvie Heights 1, Glenshellach, Oban	Housing	Low	25%
PDA 4006	McKelvie Heights 2, Glenshellach, Oban	Housing	High	25%
PDA 4007	Lerags Glen, Oban	Timber Storage and Distribution	N/A	N/A
PDA 4008	Kilmelford Village Hall, Kilmelford	Community Facilities	N/A	N/A
PDA 4009	Arinagour North, Isle of Coll	Housing	Mixed	25%
PDA 4011	Oban South	Proposed equestrian development	N/A	N/A
PDA 4012	Kerrera	Mixed use development	N/A	N/A
PDA 4013	Fishnish, Mull	Business/Industry	N/A	N/A
PDA 4014	North Connel Airfield	Airport related business/tourism	N/A	N/A

Strategic Masterplan Areas

Ref No.	Location	Use
MAST 1/11	Oban	Education, housing, commercial including retail, business and industry
Action:		
Additional Information:	Masterplan works to commence in October 2013	
Timescale:	Short to longer term	
Key Partners:	HIE; Private landowners;	
Notes:	This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site. Check for EPS	
MAST 1/12	Dunbeg Corridor	Education, housing, commercial including neighbourhood retail, business and industry
Action:	First affordable 50 houses under construction; 25 affordable houses funding agreed; proposed improvements for Kirk Road; gateway to Dunbeg village; and secondary entrance(roundabout) approved for half way:	
Additional Information:	Masterplan prepared by RSL	
Timescale:	Short to longer term	
Key Partners:	Landowner / developers; HIE	
Notes:	Check for EPS	

Development Road Actions : LDP

Ref No.	Location	Nature of Action	
DRA 5/1	Oban – Dunbeg	Strategic; development road facilitating housing, business and community facility development	
Action Programme Information			
Action	This project is included as part of the Lorn Arc Tax Incremental Finance (TIF) bid to the Scottish Futures Trust. A business case is currently being developed in support of this bid.	Timescale	Short term
Landowners	Multiple private owners	Key Partners	HIE, West Highland Housing Association, Transport Scotland
DRA 5/2	Oban – Pennyfuir-Ganavan	Strategic; development road facilitating housing, tourist, recreation development	
Action Programme Information			
Action		Timescale	Medium to longer term
Landowners		Key Partners	
DRA 5/3	Oban – Pennyfuir Cemetery/ Glencruitten	Strategic; development road facilitating housing development and access improvements	
Action Programme Information			
Action		Timescale	
Landowners		Key Partners	
DRA 5/4	Oban – Glencruitten-railway corridor- Connel	Strategic; development road facilitating housing development and access improvements	
Action Programme Information			
Action		Timescale	Medium to longer term
Landowners		Key Partners	
DRA 5/6	Oban – Glencruitten Golf Course route to Soroba Road	Strategic; development road facilitating housing development and access improvements	
Action Programme Information			
Action		Timescale	Medium to longer term
Landowners		Key Partners	

Traffic Management Actions : LDP

Ref No.	Location	Nature of Action	
TMA 5/8	Soroba Road and adjacent roads	Strategic; traffic management to improve access in town centre	
Action Programme Information			
Action	This project is included as part of the Lorn Arc Tax Incremental Finance (TIF) bid to the Scottish Futures Trust. A business case is currently being developed in support of this bid.	Timescale	Short Term
Landowners	TBC	Key Partners	SFT, Transport Scotland

Transport Priorities : LDP

Ref No.	Location	Nature of Action	
A82	Pulpit Rock/Crianlarich	Strategic improvements at Pulpit Rock and Crianlarich.	
Action Programme Information			
Action	Liaise with Transport Scotland regarding the proposed 14 week closure of the A82 at Pulpit Rock to facilitate 400m of road improvements. A viaduct will be installed to remove a pinch point which currently requires traffic signal control and one way operation. It is also proposed to construct a 1.3km two-lane bypass at Crianlarich to mitigate issues currently caused by low bridges in the village and congestion at the junction of A85 and A82.	Timescale	Summer 2013
Landowners	Transport Scotland	Key Partners	Transport Scotland
A85			
Action Programme Information			
Action		Timescale	Medium to longer term
Landowners		Key Partners	Transport Scotland
A83	Rest and Be Thankful Improvement works and trunking south of Kennacraig.		
Action Programme Information			
Action	Continue to liaise with Transport Scotland regarding the proposed improvement works at the Rest and Be Thankful to mitigate the risk of road closures due to landslides and also issues such as local pinch points and pedestrian crossing facilities. Progress a business case and negotiations with Transport Scotland regarding the potential trunking of the A83 south of Kennacraig in light of recent tourism, transport and businesses developments in Kintyre and a commitment set out by the Transport Minister to investigate trunking of the A83 to support the new ferry service linking Campbeltown and Ardrossan.	Timescale	Short to longer term
Landowners	N/A	Key Partners	Transport Scotland
Strategic Ferry and Marine Development	Assist Transport Scotland deliver the commitments set out in the Scottish Ferries Plan 2012 – 2022 with regard to ferry services in Argyll. Manage and support the new Campbeltown – Ardrossan ferry pilot and ensure commercial interests associated with the timber and renewable energy industries	The Scottish Ferries Plan 2012-2022 sets out the following commitments with regards to ferry services in Argyll;- <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Scottish Government has introduced a new summer only pilot ferry service between Campbeltown and Ayrshire ; • The Scottish Government will improve the winter service between Oban and Coll 	

	<p>are safeguarded. Continue to be a key stakeholder in the Oban Harbour Development Group ensuring the safe operation and development of marine infrastructure/activities in Oban Bay.</p>	<p>and Tiree;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Scottish Government will extend the operating day on the Colintraive-Rhubodach service until 9 pm all year round; • As a temporary step the Scottish Government will for the summer months introduce a service on a Saturday from Colonsay, via Islay, to Kennacraig • Provide a dedicated vessel to Colonsay – increasing the number of sailing days in both the summer and winter; and • Provide a dedicated vessel for Mull during the winter period – offering an increased frequency of sailings. • Subject to the service transferring to Scottish Government, The Scottish Government will offer zero fares between Jura and Islay when that journey is part of an onward journey to the mainland; • Provide a second vessel for Mull allowing for increased frequency all year; • Continue to provide an improved winter service between Oban and Coll and Tiree; • Continue to provide an improved winter service between Oban and Barra/South Uist; • Provide an additional vessel on the Ardrossan-Brodick route during the winter which can also provide the Campbeltown-Ayrshire service during the winter (subject to the outcome of the pilot). <p>In addition to assisting in delivering the objectives set out above, Argyll and Bute Council will also;-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continue to be a key stakeholder in the feasibility study into the re-introduction of a passenger/vehicle ferry service between Dunoon and Gourock Town Centres; • Attend local ferry user and harbour development group meetings to ensure safe and sustainable development of our marine infrastructure whilst safeguarding the interests of key stakeholders. 	
Action		Timescale	Short to medium term
Landowners	Argyll and Bute Council,	Key Partners	CMAL, NLB, Caledonian MacBrayne, Transport Scotland
Strategic Rail Services	Six trains per day between Glasgow and Oban.		
Action Programme Information			
Action	As a result of a ground breaking partnership between Scotrail, HITRANS	Timescale	

	<p>and Argyll and Bute Council train services between Oban and Glasgow will double from May 2014 from three to six trains between Monday and Saturday. New early morning services will reach Glasgow and Oban before 9am which will benefit business travellers, while a new evening service will connect with the southbound Caledonian Sleeper at Crianlarich offering a wider range of journey opportunities.</p> <p>In addition, there may also be the possibility of new school trains to transport school children to and from Oban. All partners will also work together to ensure safe walking and cycling routes at local stations.</p>		May 2014
Landowners	Network Rail	Key Partners	Scot rail, Hitrans

Air Services	PSO development / marketing of Oban Airport.		
---------------------	--	--	--

Action Programme Information

Action	The Council are a key stakeholder in a study commissioned by Hitrans to investigate the procurement and development of PSO air services in the Highlands and Islands. The Council are also liaising with Transport Scotland regarding the potential for additional PSO services to Argyll including connections to the Central Belt. A marketing strategy is being progressed aimed at consolidating and expanding operations at Oban Airport and the Council are working to implement the action plan developed as part of the marketing strategy.	Timescale	Summer 2013
Landowners	Argyll and Bute Council	Key Partners	Hitrans, Hebridean Air Services Ltd, Transport Scotland

A814			
-------------	--	--	--

Action Programme Information

Action	<p>Helensburgh to Dumbarton significant reconstruction - 2012 and continuing. Improvements – bus stops. Phase 1 cycle way complete, Phase 2 cycleway underway – Waitrose, Phase 3 - design stage, completion Autumn 2013, Other phases planning stage. Main road to naval base at Faslane – reconstruction CHORD – reconstruction related to town centre action AFA 3/1</p>	Timescale	<p>Short – mid-term – cycleway. Bus stops Underway Road reconstruction/ Improvement short to medium term</p>
Landowners	Various	Key Partners	ABC, SPT

Areas for Action

Ref No.	Location	Nature of Action
AFA 5/1	Oban – south pier/railway	Strategic; town centre/waterfront development and management
AFA 5/2	Oban – George Street/north pier	Strategic; town centre/waterfront development and management
AFA 5/3	Oban – Esplanade	Strategic; town centre/waterfront/harbour development and management
AFA 5/4	Oban Bay	Strategic; waterfront/harbour development and management and access improvement.
AFA 5/5	Dunstaffnage Bay	Strategic; harbour/leisure development
AFA 5/6	Oban Airport	Strategic; air transport development
AFA 5/10	Cuan Sound	Strategic; access improvements
AFA 5/11	Easdale Sound	Strategic; access improvements
AFA 5/12	Lynn of Lorn	Strategic; access improvements.
AFA 6/1	Tobermory Town Centre and Bay	Strategic; town centre/harbour improvement/management and development.
AFA 7/1	Scarinish Pierhead	Local; business development and environmental enhancement
AFA 7/2	Crossapol/Airport Business and Industry	Local; redevelopment for residential, business, commercial and environmental enhancement

Potential Development Areas

Schedule No. PDA 4/3 – Arinagour Farm Road: Arinagour

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed housing development – low to high density, including 25% affordable housing.		
Notes:			
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 5/3 – Oban: Longsdale

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed density housing development (25% unit affordability requirement).		
Notes:	Opportunity to remove culvert and provision of buffer strip Check for EPS – bats; Marsh Fritillary habitat		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 5/4 - Glencruitten

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed density housing development (25% unit affordability requirement).		
Notes:	Opportunity to remove culvert and provision of buffer strip Check for EPS – bats; Marsh Fritillary habitat		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 5/5 - Glencruitten

Nature of Potential Development:	Golf course expansion with possible ancillary low density high amenity small scale housing development with 25% of housing units being affordable.		
Notes:	Check for EPS – otter		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP REC/COM 1; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 5/6 – Oban: Longsdale Road

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed density housing development (25% unit affordability requirement).		
Notes:	Opportunity to remove culvert and provision of buffer strip Check for EPS – bats; Marsh Fritillary habitat		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 5/7 - Glencruitten

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed density housing development (25% unit affordability requirement).		
Notes:	Opportunity to remove culvert and provision of buffer strip Check for EPS – bats; Marsh Fritillary habitat		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 5/13 – Oban: Glengallan Road

Nature of Potential Development:	Business and industry Class 4, 5 and 6.		
Notes:	Check for EPS – otter		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP5; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 5/26 – Oban: Ganavan

Nature of Potential Development:	Medium density housing development with 25% affordable housing.		
Notes:	Buffer strip recommended (SEPA) Check for EPS		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 5/30 – Benderloch: Keil Farm

Nature of Potential Development:	High to medium density housing development with 25% affordable housing.		
Notes:	Check for EPS		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 5/39 - Ledaig

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density high amenity housing development with 25% affordable housing.		
Notes:	Check for EPS		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 5/54 – Connel: Camas Bruaich, Ruaidhe

Nature of Potential Development:	Potential marina and golf course related leisure/tourism development.		
Notes:	This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site. Check for EPS		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP ENV 13; SG LDP REC/COM 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards; SG LDP SERV 7.		

Schedule No. PDA 5/60 - Dalmally

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed density housing development (25% unit affordability requirement).		
Notes:	Check for EPS		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP ENV 13; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 5/71 – North Connel: Airfield

Nature of Potential Development:	Business and industry development related to complimenting adjacent airport facility.		
Notes:	Check for EPS – otter; avoidance of any negative impact on GCR Site		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP5; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 5/72 – North Connel: Airfield

Nature of Potential Development:	Tourism/leisure/housing (with 25% of housing units being affordable).		
Notes:	Flood Risk Assessment required as a component of any planning application to demonstrate that development can take place and meet the flood requirements of SPP. Check for EPS – otter; avoidance of any negative impact on GCR Site		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; POLICY LDP 5; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards; SG LDP SERV 7.		

Schedule No. PDA 5/74 – North Connel: Black Crofts

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density high quality housing development with 25% affordable housing.		
Notes:	Check for EPS – otter; avoidance of any negative impact on GCR Site		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 5/75 – North Connel

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density high quality housing development with 25% affordable housing.		
Notes:	Check for EPS		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 5/94 – Lismore: Achnacroish

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density, high amenity housing with 25% affordable housing.		
Notes:	Check for EPS – otter		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP ENV 12; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards; SG LDP ENV 12.		

Schedule No. PDA 5/99 – Barcaldine: Marine Resource Centre

Nature of Potential Development:	Business and industry development Class 4 and 5.		
Notes:	Includes the scheduled ancient monument termed Barcaldine School, standing stone 46SW of (Index No. 3905). Early consultation with Historic Scotland required.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP ENV 13; POLICY LDP5; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards; SG LDP ENV 19.		

Schedule No. PDA 5/100 – Barcaldine: Marine Resource Centre

Nature of Potential Development:	Business and industry development Class 4 and 5.		
Notes:	Scheduled Monuments in the Vicinity(to the east) of the Allocation: Barcaldine School, standing stone 46m SW of (Index No. 3905) Early consultation with Historic Scotland required. Check for EPS.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP ENV 13; POLICY LDP5; SG LDP ENV 19; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 5/105 - Barcaldine

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density housing development including 25% affordable housing.		
Notes:	Check for EPS		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 5/109 – Bridge of Orchy

Nature of Potential Development:	Medium and low density housing development with 25% affordable housing.		
Notes:	Check for EPS – Otter.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP ENV 13; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 5/115 – Dalavich

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density high quality tourism/leisure development.		
Notes:	This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site. Much of this site is woodland (Ancient Woodland Inventory - Type 1a – Ancient: of Semi-Natural Origin and Type 2a Long-Established: of Plantation Origin). As such, development should seek to minimise the loss of woodland, with any loss being subject to the requirements of the Control of Woodland Removal Policy and a species survey and mitigation plan if necessary is also be required.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP TOUR 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards, SG LDP SERV 7; SG LDP ENV 6.		

Schedule No. PDA 5/120 - Eredine

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density housing development with 25% affordable housing.		
Notes:	This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site. Check for EPS – bats and otter		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards; SG LDP SERV 7; SG LDP ENV 6.		

Schedule No. PDA 5/131 – Kilmore: Village Hall

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density high amenity housing development with 25% affordable housing.		
Notes:	This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site. Marsh Fritillary habitat		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP ENV 13; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 5/133 – Kilmore: Barrans

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density high amenity housing development with 25% affordable housing.		
Notes:	This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site. Check for EPS.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP ENV 13; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards; SG LDP SERV 7.		

Schedule No. PDA 5/137 - Kilninver

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density high amenity housing development with 25% affordable housing.		
Notes:	Check for EPS – otter		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP ENV 13; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards; SG LDP ENV 6.		

Schedule No. PDA 5/138 - Kilninver

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density high amenity housing development with 25% affordable housing.		
Notes:	Check for EPS – otter		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP ENV 13; POLICY LDP5; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards; SG LDP ENV 6.		

Schedule No. PDA 5/142 - Melfort

Nature of Potential Development:	Tourism/leisure development.		
Notes:	This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site. Check for EPS – otter; Marsh Fritillary habitat.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP ENV 13; SG LDP TOUR 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 5/150 - Portnacroish

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density, high amenity housing with 25% affordable housing.		
Notes:	Check for EPS		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP ENV 19; SG LDP ENV 16; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards; SG LDP ENV 12.		

Schedule No. PDA 5/151 - Portnacroish

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density, high amenity housing with 25% affordable housing.		
Notes:	Check for EPS		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP ENV 19; SG LDP ENV 16; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards; SG LDP ENV 12 .		

Schedule No. PDA 5/152 – Portnacroish

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density, high amenity housing with 25% affordable housing.		
Notes:	Check for EPS – bats (mature trees)		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP REC/COM 1; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 5/155 – Lismore: Northern Ferry Point

Nature of Potential Development:	Tourism/leisure development		
Notes:	Check for EPS		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP ENV 12; SG LDP TOUR 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards; SG LDP SERV 7.		

Schedule No. PDA 5/163 – Bridge of Orchy

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed use tourism/leisure/retail development utilising the sites road side location to provide road side facilities.		
Notes:	Check for EPS – otter		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP RET 2; SG LDP TOUR 1; SG LDP ENV 13; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 5/164 – Cullipool: Quarry

Nature of Potential Development:	Leisure/tourism facility based on quarrying heritage.		
Notes:	This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site. Check for breeding birds (quarry faces). Any development proposals must ensure that there would be no adverse effect on the integrity of the Firth of Lorne SAC.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP 17; SG LDP ENV 13; SG LDP TOUR 1; SG LDP REC/COM 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards; SG LDP SERV 7.		

Schedule No. PDA 5/165 – Port Appin

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed density high quality housing development (25% unit affordability requirements).		
Notes:	Check for EPS – bats (mature trees along edge).		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP ENV 12; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 5/167 – Lismore: Achnacroish

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density, affordable housing development (100% of total units).		
Notes:	This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site. Check for EPS.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP ENV 12; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards; SG LDP SERV 7.		

Schedule No. PDA 5/168 - Cladich

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density high amenity housing development with 25% affordable housing.		
Notes:	Flood Risk Assessment required as a component of any planning application to demonstrate that development can take place and meet the flood requirements of SPP. Check for EPS – otter; Marsh Fritillary habitat.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP ENV 13; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards; SG LDP SERV 7.		

Schedule No. PDA 5/171 – Oban: Moleigh

Nature of Potential Development:	Business and industry Class 4, 5 and 6.		
Notes:	Check for EPS.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 5/172 – Dunbeg: Tom Liath

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density high quality tourism/leisure development.		
Notes:	Surrounds the scheduled monument termed Cladh Uaine, chapel and burial ground 570m ESE of Pennyfuir Cottage (Index No. 3826). Early consultation with Historic Scotland required. Check for EPS.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP TOUR 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards; SG LDP ENV 19.		

Schedule No. PDA 6/4 – Tobermory: St Mary's

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density housing development with 25% affordable housing.		
Notes:	Check for EPS – bats and otter		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 6/5 – Tobermory: South Riverside

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density housing with 25% affordable housing.		
Notes:	Check for EPS – bats and otter		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards; SG LDP SERV 7.		

Schedule No. PDA 6/6 – Tobermory: Baliscate North

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed density housing with 25% affordable housing.		
Notes:	Check for EPS – bats and otter		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 6/11 – Craignure: Java

Nature of Potential Development:	Community Facilities		
Notes:	Check for EPS – bats and otter		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 6/13 – Craignure: East

Nature of Potential Development:	Medium density housing with 25% affordable housing.		
Notes:	Check for EPS – bats and otter		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards; SG LDP ENV 6.		

Schedule No. PDA 6/18 – Salen Bay

Nature of Potential Development:	Recreation / Mixed Uses		
Notes:	This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site. Check for EPS – bats and otter		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; SG Access and Parking Standards; SG LDP SERV 7.		

Schedule No. PDA 6/19 – Salen: Glenmore

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density housing with 25% affordable housing.		
Notes:	Check for EPS – bats and otter		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 6/20 – Salen: Central

Nature of Potential Development:	Medium density housing with 25% affordable housing.		
Notes:	Check for EPS – bats and otter		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 6/23 – Salen Pier

Nature of Potential Development:	Tourism / Commercial		
Notes:	This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site. Check for EPS – bats and otter		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; SG Access and Parking Standards; SG LDP SERV 7.		

Schedule No. PDA 6/27 – Iona: Baile Mor

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed Use / High Density Housing		
Notes:	Check for EPS – bats and otter		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 6/39 – Fionnphort

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed density housing development		
Notes:	Check for EPS – bats and otter		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 6/41 – Lochdon: Lochdon North

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed density housing development		
Notes:	Check for EPS – bats and otter		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 6/42 – Lochdon: Lochdon South

Nature of Potential Development:	Medium density housing development		
Notes:	Check for EPS – bats and otter		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 6/46 – Dervaig: North

Nature of Potential Development:	Farm diversification		
Notes:	Check for EPS – bats and otter		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 6/49 – West Ardhu

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed density housing development		
Notes:	This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site. Check for EPS – bats and otter		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards; SG LDP SERV 7.		

Schedule No. PDA 6/50 – Craignure: West

Nature of Potential Development:	Tourism		
Notes:	Check for EPS – bats and otter		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; SG Access and Parking Standards; SG LDP ENV 6.		

Schedule No. PDA 6/52 – Tobermory: Dervaig Road 2

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed housing/business and industry – 50% of housing units affordable.		
Notes:	Check for EPS – bats and otter		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles ; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 4001 - Dalmally

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed density housing development		
Notes:	This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site. Check for EPS		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards; SG LDP SERV 7.		

Schedule No. PDA 4002 – Appin Holiday Park: Appin

Nature of Potential Development:	Tourism		
Notes:	Check for EPS – otter		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; SG Access and Parking Standards; SG LDP SERV 7; SG LDP ENV 13.		

Schedule No. PDA 4003 – Sealife Centre: Barcaldine

Nature of Potential Development:	Tourism		
Notes:	This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site. Much of this site is woodland (Ancient Woodland Inventory - Type 1a – Ancient: of Semi-Natural Origin and Type 2b Long-Established: of Plantation Origin). As such, development should seek to minimise the loss of woodland, with any loss being subject to the requirements of the Control of Woodland Removal Policy and a species survey and mitigation plan if necessary is also be required, including for EPS.		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; SG Access and Parking Standards; SG LDP SERV 7; SG LDP ENV 6.		

Schedule No. PDA 4004 – Taynuilt

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed density housing development		
Notes:	<p>Located in the vicinity of scheduled monuments; Nelson Monument, inscribed stone, (Index No. 4077) and Taynuilt, Old parish Church of Muckairn, tombstones and burial ground (Index No. 3762). Early consultation with Historic Scotland required.</p> <p>This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site. Immediately bounding the site to the north is a designated area of Semi Natural Ancient Woodland and Ancient Woodland Inventory which requires buffer zone to be agreed in order to ensure protection of the trees.</p> <p>Check for EPS</p>		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG LDP ENV 19; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 4005 – McKelvie Heights 1: Glenshellach, Oban

Nature of Potential Development:	Low density housing development		
Notes:	Check for EPS		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards		

Schedule No. PDA 4006 – McKelvie Heights 2: Glenshellach, Oban

Nature of Potential Development:	High density housing development		
Notes:	Check for EPS		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards		

Schedule No. PDA 4007 – Lerags Glen: Oban

Nature of Potential Development:	Timber Storage and Distribution		
Notes:	Check for EPS		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 4008 – Kilmelford Village Hall: Kilmelford

Nature of Potential Development:	Community Facilities		
Notes:	This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site. Check for EPS – otter Scheduled Monuments within the Allocation: Kilmelford, cairn & enclosure 600m SW of Kilmelford Village (Index No. 3778)		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; SG Access and Parking Standards; SG LDP SERV 7; SG LDP ENV 19.		

Schedule No. PDA 4009 – Arinagour North: Isle of Coll

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed density housing development		
Notes:	This site may be at risk of flooding. A flood risk assessment will be required to accompany any future development proposals for this site. Avoidance of any adverse effect on integrity of Coll SPA		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; POLICY LDP 3; SG LDP HOU 1; SG Sustainable Siting and Design Principles; SG Access and Parking Standards, SG LDP ENV 16(a).		

Schedule No. PDA 4011 – Oban South

Nature of Potential Development:	Equestrian Development		
Notes:	Check for EPS		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 4012 – Kerrera

Nature of Potential Development:	Mixed use development : community/business/tourism and residential development.		
Notes:	Check for EPS		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Schedule No. PDA 4013 – Fishnish, Mull

Nature of Potential Development:	Business/Industry		
Notes:	Check for EPS		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; SG Access and Parking Standards. SG LDP SERV 7.		

Schedule No. PDA 4014 – North Connel Airfield

Nature of Potential Development:	Airport related business/tourism		
Notes:	Check for EPS		
Identified Constraints:	Multiple Ownership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Sewerage Capacity Constraint	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Access Constraint / Road Safety Issue	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Masterplan / Comprehensive Approach Required	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Built Heritage Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Nature Conservation Constraints	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flood Risk Assessment Required	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Main Relevant Policies:	POLICY LDP STRAT 1; POLICY LDP DM 1; SG Access and Parking Standards.		

Development Road Actions

Schedule No. DRA 5/1 – Oban: Dunbeg

Status:	Strategic <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local <input type="checkbox"/>	
Nature of Action:	Development road facilitating housing, business and community facility development		
Support Action:	This project is included as part of the Lorn Arc Tax Incremental Finance (TIF) bid to the Scottish Futures Trust. A business case is currently being developed in support of this bid. Full planning consent has been granted for the roundabout in April 2013 which is being taken forward by a private developer and West Highland Housing Association.		
Resourcing Implications:		Capital	Revenue
	Council resources	TIF	To be determined
	Partner resources	Private developer	To be determined

Schedule No. DRA 5/2 – Oban: Pennyfuir-Ganavan

Status:	Strategic <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local <input type="checkbox"/>	
Nature of Action:	Development road facilitating housing, tourist, recreation development		
Support Action:	This project is included as part of the Lorn Arc Tax Incremental Finance (TIF) bid to the Scottish Futures Trust. A business case is currently being developed in support of this bid.		
Resourcing		Capital	Revenue
	Council resources	To be determined	To be determined

Implications:	Partner resources	To be determined	To be determined	
----------------------	-------------------	------------------	------------------	--

Schedule No. *DRA 5/3 – Oban: Pennyfuir Cemetery, Glencruitten*

Status:	Strategic <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local <input type="checkbox"/>
----------------	---	--------------------------------

Nature of Action:	Development road facilitating housing development and access improvements
--------------------------	---

Support Action:	This is the preferred access point for the proposed new Oban Development Road that has been retained in the LDP as a safeguarded corridor. Phase 1 of the Oban Development Road is being taken forward through junction and traffic flow improvements in the town.
------------------------	--

Resourcing Implications:		Capital	Revenue
	Council resources	To be determined	To be determined
	Partner resources	To be determined	To be determined

Schedule No. *DRA 5/4 – Oban: Glencruitten-Railway Corridor-Connel*

Status:	Strategic <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local <input type="checkbox"/>
----------------	---	--------------------------------

Nature of Action:	Development road facilitating housing development and access improvements
--------------------------	---

Support Action:	Additional route to the proposed new Oban Development Road that has been retained in the LDP as a safeguarded corridor. Phase 1 of the Oban Development Road is being taken forward through junction and traffic flow improvements in the town.
------------------------	---

Resourcing Implications:		Capital	Revenue
	Council resources	To be determined	To be determined
	Partner resources	To be determined	To be determined

Schedule No. *DRA 5/6 – Oban: Glencruitten Golf Course Route to Soroba Road*

Status:	Strategic <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local <input type="checkbox"/>
----------------	---	--------------------------------

Nature of Action:	Development road facilitating housing development and access improvements
--------------------------	---

Support Action:	Proposed new Oban Development Road that has been retained in the LDP as a safeguarded corridor. Phase 1 of the Oban Development Road is being taken forward through junction and traffic flow improvements in the town.
------------------------	---

Resourcing Implications:		Capital	Revenue
	Council resources	To be determined	To be determined
	Partner resources	To be determined	To be determined

Traffic Management Actions

Schedule No. *TMA 5/8 – Soroba Road and Adjacent Roads*

Status:	Strategic <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local <input type="checkbox"/>		
Nature of Action:	Traffic management to improve access in town centre		
Support Action:	This project is included as part of the Lorn Arc Tax Incremental Finance (TIF) bid to the Scottish Futures Trust. A business case is currently being developed in support of this bid.		
Resourcing Implications:	Capital	Revenue	
	Council resources	TIF/CHORD	To be determined
	Partner resources	To be determined	To be determined

Areas For Action

Schedule No. AFA 5/1 – Oban: South Pier/Railway

Status:	Strategic <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local <input type="checkbox"/>	
Nature of Action:	<p>Note: This AFA is closely associated with AFAs 5/2-4 which border and include sectors of Oban Bay.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> To pursue an area for action which will support the “Oban Investment and Expansion Potential”. The settlement plan for Oban is likely to include development, transport and marine interests affecting coastal sectors and settlement corridors extending from Oban bay to Dunstaffnage/Dunbeg, North Connel and Connel. There is a trunk road and interchange focus with implications for the ferry terminal and nearby areas extending into Lochavullin. An action programme to fulfil the potential of Oban Bay and the town centre, harbour and waterfront areas for marine berthing, moorings and for commercial development allied to the various harbour, ferry and fishing interests”. To consider landward and seaward congestion issues which are associated with peaks in the ferry operations. To safeguard the potential trunk and local road corridors between the waterfront/ferry terminal and the Lochavullin area of the town. To consider traffic management and vehicle parking implications (short and longer-term). To consider railway – terminal location issues (including option of relocating railway station and bringing trunk road link right down to the harbour). To consider public transport interchange issues. To consider under-usage of waterfront land. To consider land use compatibility issues. To overcome legal, statutory and operational impediments. To further consider development/redevelopment options. To further consider land assembly/asset management issues (including future use of Council properties). To address ferry operator, commercial fishing, northern lighthouses and harbour authority interests. To consider townscape and waterfront opportunities for enhancement. Check for EPS (otter) 		
Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Resourcing Implications:	Capital		Revenue
	Council resources	CHORD/TIF	To be determined
		Partner resources	To be determined

Schedule No. AFA 5/2 – Oban: George Street/North Pier

Status:	Strategic <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local <input type="checkbox"/>	
Nature of Action:	<p>Note: This AFA is closely associated with AFAs 5/1 and 5/3 and 4.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> See 1, 2, 3, 5, 11 and 24 under AFA 5/1. To consider Oban Bay infill options. To consider part-pedestrianisation potential in this area of the town. To consider signage and advertisement issues. To consider flooding/wind/wave incursion issues. Check for EPS (otter) 		
Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Resourcing Implications:			
	Council resources	Capital	Revenue
		CHORD/TIF	To be determined
Partner resources		To be determined	

Schedule No. AFA 5/3 – Oban: Esplanade

Status:	Strategic <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local <input type="checkbox"/>	
Nature of Action:	<p>Note: This AFA is closely associated with AFAs 5/1, 2 and 4.</p> <p>1. See 1, 2 and 14 under AFA 5/1. See 8 and 9 under AFA 5/2.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To consider marina/yacht station development potential having regard to: • Previous planning submissions • Traffic impact implications • North pier compatibility and operational issues • Small commercial boat operation interests <p>2. To consider Corran Halls/car park and other redevelopment opportunities/options.</p> <p>3. Check for EPS (otter)</p>		
Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Resourcing Implications:	Capital		Revenue
	Council resources	CHORD	To be determined
	Partner resources	To be determined	To be determined

Schedule No. AFA 5/4 – Oban Bay

Status:	Strategic <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local <input type="checkbox"/>
----------------	---	--------------------------------

Nature of Action:	<p>Note: This AFA is closely associated with AFAs 5/1 – 3.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. See 1, 2, 3 and 13 under AFA 5/1 See 9 under AFA 5/2 See 6 under AFA 5/3 2. To consider fixed link options between Kerrera and Lorn – cost/benefit and feasibility study in accord with Schedule 2 of the Structure Plan. 3. To assess the overall operational capacity of the Oban Bay area taking into account existing and potential user interests including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Harbour authority interests • Ferry operator interests • Northern lighthouse interests • Coastguard interests • Local and transient commercial fishing interests • Fish farming interests • Kerrera servicing interests • Recreational boating, yachting (yacht club) and water sport interests. 4. To consider the relationship between Oban Bay activities and marine opportunities in adjacent waters e.g. at Dunstaffnage. 5. Check for EPS (otter)
--------------------------	---

Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>

Resourcing Implications:	Capital		Revenue	
	Council resources	CHORD	To be determined	
	Partner resources	To be determined	To be determined	

Schedule No. AFA 5/5 – Dunstaffnage Bay

Status:	Strategic <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local <input type="checkbox"/>
----------------	---	--------------------------------

Nature of Action:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To pursue an area for action which seeks to realise development opportunities at Dunstaffnage as part of the wider expansion of Oban. 2. To consider marine related development potential in Dunstaffnage Bay taking account of the existing and potential users of this Bay and adjoining land (including safeguarding the setting of Dunstaffnage Castle and marine laboratory interests). 3. To consider the relationship with the above development potential and the wider development issues in the Oban/Dunbeg corridor. 4. To consider the issues and concern that further development bordering Dunstaffnage Bay may over suburbanise this area. 5. To consider the potential for water and land use linkages between Dunstaffnage Bay and Oban Airport (area for action). 6. To consider the coastal framework plan implications as they relate to Loch Etive. 7. To consider drainage outfall issues. 8. To consider marine related development potential in Camas Bruaiche Ruaidhe taking account of bordering land use and the potential for recreational development on the landward side of the trunk road. 9. Check for EPS (otter)
--------------------------	--

Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Resourcing Implications:	Capital		Revenue	
	Council resources	CHORD	To be determined	
	Partner resources	HIE / TIF	To be determined	

Schedule No. AFA 5/6 – Oban Airport

Status:	Strategic <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local <input type="checkbox"/>
----------------	---	--------------------------------

Nature of Action:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To pursue an area for action which seeks to develop new air services focused on Oban, potentially servicing the wider north-west coast and fragile islands and to safeguard development options in the vicinity of Oban Airport. 2. To take on board the various issues considered as part of the Oban Airport study process. 3. To consider water and land use links with the Dunstaffnage Bay area for action. 4. To further consider all the potential uses of the Oban Airport interface including use of land/water for non airport purposes (e.g. off-shore oil exploration and renewable energy). 5. Check for EPS (otter)
--------------------------	--

Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>

Resourcing Implications:		Capital	Revenue
	Council resources	TIF	To be determined
	Partner resources		To be determined

Schedule No. AFA 5/10 – Cuan Sound

Status:	Strategic <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local <input type="checkbox"/>	
Nature of Action:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> To pursue a cost/benefit and feasibility study into an option for improving ferry services and/or providing a fixed link between Luing and Seil. To consider the land use and access implications on the South Cuan (Luing) and Cuan (Seil) sides of the Sound. Proposals must ensure that there would be no adverse effect on the integrity of Firth of Lorn SAC 		
Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Resourcing Implications:		Capital	Revenue
	Council resources	To be determined	To be determined
	Partner resources	To be determined	To be determined

Schedule No. AFA 5/11 – Easdale Sound

Status:	Strategic <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local <input type="checkbox"/>	
Nature of Action:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> To pursue an area for action in relation to a cost/benefit and feasibility study into an option for improving ferry services between Ellenabeich and Easdale. Allied to the above, to consider land use and access implications on both sides of Easdale Sound. Proposals must ensure that there would be no adverse effect on the integrity of Firth of Lorn SAC 		
Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
Resourcing Implications:	Council resources	Capital	Revenue
		To be determined	To be determined
	Partner resources	Capital	Revenue
		To be determined	To be determined

Schedule No. AFA 5/12 – Lynn of Lorn

Status:	Strategic <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local <input type="checkbox"/>
----------------	--

Nature of Action:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> To pursue an area for action in relation to a cost/benefit and feasibility study into an option for improving ferry services and/or providing a fixed link between Port Appin and the north end of Lismore. Allied to the above, to consider land use and access implications on both sides of Lynn of Lorn. Check for EPS (otter)
--------------------------	--

Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>

Resourcing Implications:		Capital	Revenue
	Council resources	To be determined	To be determined
	Partner resources	To be determined	To be determined

Schedule No. AFA 6/1 – Tobermory Town Centre and Bay

Status:	Strategic <input type="checkbox"/>	Local <input type="checkbox"/>
----------------	------------------------------------	--------------------------------

Nature of Action:	1. To pursue an area for action which will support investment opportunities identified for Tobermory town centre and waterfront area.
	2. To reinforce the very important role which Tobermory plays within the “tourism development area” as identified in the LDP Proposals Map.
	3. Consider other harbour interests including Cal-Mac, lifeboat, coastguard, fishermen and fish farmers.
	4. To consider traffic management, access and parking issues.
	5. To consider town centre and waterfront enhancement potential.
	6. To pursue cost/benefit analysis into future options covering the above interests and potential.
	7. Check for EPS (otter and bats)

Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>

Resourcing Implications:		Capital	Revenue
	Council resources	To be determined	To be determined
	Partner resources	To be determined	To be determined

Schedule No. AFA 7/1 – Scarinish Pierhead

Status:	Strategic <input type="checkbox"/> Local <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Nature of Action:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To consider the capacity of the pierhead area for further business, industry and transport based development. 2. To consider opportunities for environmental enhancements at this important island gateway. 3. Check for EPS (otter) 		
Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
Resourcing Implications:		Capital	Revenue
	Council resources	To be determined	To be determined
	Partner resources	To be determined	To be determined

Schedule No. AFA 7/2 – Crossapol/Airport Business and Industry

Status:	Strategic <input type="checkbox"/>	Local <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Nature of Action:	1. To resolve options for development/redevelopment and environmental enhancements in this visually prominent area or the entrance to the airport.		
Support Action:	Officer/partner liaison	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Partnership working groups	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer technical support group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Officer/member working group	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Steering group (officers, members, partners and community representatives)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Forums (periodic open days)	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Engagement of consultants	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
	Cost benefit/feasibility study	To be determined <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commenced <input type="checkbox"/>
Resourcing Implications:		Capital	Revenue
	Council resources	To be determined	To be determined
	Partner resources	To be determined	To be determined

MONITORING, REVIEW AND LOOKING AHEAD

This Draft Action Programme will be reviewed and updated every 2 years. This process will review the timetables set out in this document indicating whether actions (and thus their associated policies or proposals): have been completed; require no current or further action; are on-going or still as scheduled; are constrained; or require new actions or lead agents to ensure delivery.

In addition to the biennial review of the Action Programme it is anticipated that progress on some actions e.g. preparation of Masterplans, may also be reported through the Development Plan Scheme.

The lead developer identified (in the Action Programme) as being responsible for delivery of the requisite elements of the Plan will be notified as part of the monitoring and review process. This should further enable delivery of the policies and proposals set out in the Plan, promote more effective and accountable delivery, afford better engagement and wider ownership of the Plan.

The Action Programme will be used as a tool to monitor progress on the Local Development Plan.